

## **INTEGRATED RISK AND ASSURANCE REPORT: AUGUST 2018**

Author: Risk and Assurance Manager

Sponsor: Medical Director

**Trust Board paper J**

# **Executive Summary**

## **Context**

The purpose of this paper is to enable the UHL Trust Board to review the current position with progress of the risk control and assurance environment, including the Board Assurance Framework (BAF) and the organisational risk register.

Note - The BAF should also be reviewed in the context of the assurances being provided in other reports also being considered at this Board meeting.

## **Questions**

1. What are the highest rated principal risks on the 2018/19 BAF?
2. What are the significant changes on the organisational risk register since the previous version?
3. What are the key risk management themes evidenced on the organisational risk register?

## **Conclusion**

1. The principal risks have been identified by the Board and are linked to Trust objectives. The principal risks relate to: PR1 – Quality standards; PR2 – Staffing levels; PR3 – Financial sustainability; PR4 – Emergency care pathway; PR5 – IM&T service; PR6 – Estates and Facilities service; PR7 – Partnership working. The highest rated principal risks (currently rated at 20) relate to staffing levels, emergency care pathway and financial sustainability.
2. There are 203 risks recorded on the organisational risk register (including 65 with a current rating of 15 and above). The Trust's risk profile continues to demonstrate active review across all CMGs and corporate services. There have been no new risks scoring 15 and above entered on the risk register during this reporting period.
3. Thematic Analysis of the CMG risks has identified the two key risk causation themes as gaps in staffing levels and capacity pressures. Financial challenges, including funding and internal control arrangements are recognised as key enablers to support the delivery of the Trust's objectives.

## **Input Sought**

The Board is invited to review and approve the content of this report, note the updated position to items on the 2018/19 BAF and to advise as to any further action required in relation to principal risks recorded on the BAF and items on the organisational risk register.

For Reference

Edit as appropriate:

1. The following **objectives** were considered when preparing this report:

- Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare [Yes]
- Effective, integrated emergency care [Yes]
- Consistently meeting national access standards [Yes]
- Integrated care in partnership with others [Yes]
- Enhanced delivery in research, innovation & ed' [Yes]
- A caring, professional, engaged workforce [Yes]
- Clinically sustainable services with excellent facilities [Yes]
- Financially sustainable NHS organisation [Yes]
- Enabled by excellent IM&T [Yes]

2. This matter relates to the following **governance** initiatives:

a. Organisational Risk Register [Yes]

Datix Risk ID	Operational Risk Title(s) – add new line for each operational risk	Current Rating	Target Rating	CMG
	See appendix two			

b. Board Assurance Framework [Yes]

BAF entry	BAF Title	Current Rating
	See appendix one	

3. Related **Patient and Public Involvement** actions taken, or to be taken: [N/A]

4. Results of any **Equality Impact Assessment**, relating to this matter: [N/A]

5. Scheduled date for the **next paper** on this topic: [Monthly to TB meeting]

6. Executive Summaries should not exceed **2 pages**. [My paper does comply]

7. Papers should not exceed **7 pages**. [My paper does not comply]

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST

**REPORT TO:** UHL TRUST BOARD

**DATE:** 4<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2018

**REPORT BY:** ANDREW FURLONG – MEDICAL DIRECTOR

**SUBJECT:** INTEGRATED RISK AND ASSURANCE REPORT  
(INCORPORATING UHL BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK &  
ORGANISATIONAL RISK REGISTER – AUGUST 2018)

---

### 1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This integrated risk and assurance report will assist the Trust Board (referred to hereafter as Board) to discharge its risk management responsibilities by providing:-
- a. A copy of the 2018/19 Board Assurance Framework (BAF);
  - b. A summary of the organisational risk register.

### 2. 2018/19 BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK SUMMARY

- 2.1 The Board has overall responsibility for ensuring controls are in place, sufficient to mitigate principal risks which may threaten the success of the Trust's strategic objectives. The format of the BAF is designed to provide the Board with a simple but comprehensive method to monitor the management of principal risks to the achievement of its strategic objectives. The purpose of the BAF is therefore to enable the Board to ensure that it receives assurance that all principal risks are being effectively managed and to commission additional assurance where it identifies a gap in control and/or evidence.
- 2.2 The BAF remains a dynamic document and all principal risks have been reviewed by their lead Directors (to report performance for August) and have been scrutinised and endorsed by their relevant Executive Boards during September 2018. There have been no concerns raised by the Executive Boards for escalation to the Board meeting today. An updated version of the BAF is attached at appendix one.
- 2.3 There have been no new principal risks entered on the BAF and no changes to the principal risk scores for the reporting period.
- 2.4 The three highest rated risks relate to financial sustainability, emergency care pathway and workforce capacity, and are described below:


Principal Risk Description	Risk Rating	Objective & Lead Director
PR2: If the Trust is unable to achieve and maintain the required <b>workforce capacity and capability</b> standards, then it may result in widespread instances of poor clinical outcomes for patients and increased staff workloads, impacting business (quality / finance) and reputation (regulatory duty / adverse publicity).	20	Our People DPOP
PR3: If the Trust is unable to achieve and maintain <b>financial sustainability</b> , then it will result in a failure to deliver the financial plan, impacting business (finance & quality) and reputation (regulatory duty / adverse publicity).	20	Financial Stability CFO
PR4: If the Trust is unable to effectively manage the <b>emergency care pathway</b> , then it may result in widespread	20	Organisation of Care

instances of poor clinical outcomes for patients and sustained failure to achieve constitutional standards, impacting business (quality & finance) and reputation (regulatory duty / adverse publicity).		COO
--	--	-----

### 3. ORGANISATIONAL RISK REGISTER SUMMARY

3.1 The Trust's risk register has been kept under review by the Executive Performance Board and CMG Boards during August and displays 203 organisational risks. The Trust's risk profile by current risk rating is illustrated in Figure 1, below and a dashboard of all risks is attached at appendix two.

**Figure 1: UHL Risk Register profile - residual risk rating**



3.2 There have been no new risks, rated 15 and above, entered on the risk register during the reporting period.

3.3 The organisational risk register performance against the agreed indicators is detailed in Table 1, below:

<b>Table 1 – UHL Risk Register Performance</b>				
<b>Risk Register Performance Measure Indicator</b>	<b>Target Level</b>	<b>Risk Register Total (1 – 25)</b>	<b>Risk Register High &amp; Extreme (15 – 25)</b>	<b>Risk Register Moderate &amp; Low (1 – 12)</b>
No. of active risks (open)	N/A	203	65	138
% of risk reviews completed within set review date	>90%	96% (194)	97% (63)	95% (131)
% of risks with mitigating actions in place	>90%	99% (200)	100% (65)	98% (135)
% of risks with mitigating actions elapsed (i.e. beyond target date)	<10%	8% (17)	5% (3)	10% (14)

3.4 Thematic analysis of the organisational risk register shows the key risk causation themes as:

- Staffing shortages;

➤ Imbalance between demand and capacity.

3.5 A number of these risks also make reference to managing financial pressures, as a result of limited funding and challenging internal control arrangements, which are recognised as enablers to support the delivery of the Trust's operational and strategic objectives. These thematic findings on the risk register are reflective of the highest rated principal risks on the BAF.

#### **4 RECOMMENDATIONS**

4.1 The Board is invited to review and approve the content of this report, note the position to principal risks on the 18/19 BAF and advise as to any further action required in relation to management of the BAF and the organisational risk register.

### UHL Board Assurance Framework 2018/19:

The Board Assurance Framework (BAF) is designed to provide the Trust Board with a simple but comprehensive method for the effective and focused management of principal risks to the achievement of its strategic objectives. The Trust Board defines the principal risks within the BAF and ensures that each is assigned to a Lead Director, as well as to a lead Executive Board for scrutiny, and to a lead Committee of the Board for regular review and assurance.

The principal risk descriptions include, in italics, the key *threats* likely to increase the risk and which may influence the achievement of the Trust’s strategic objectives.

The focus within the BAF is on the effectiveness of the primary controls, which we are relying on, whose impact could have a direct bearing on the achievement of the Trust’s strategic objectives, should the controls be ineffective.

The BAF is linked to performance metrics with detective risk indicators as a further source of evidence to inform the regular review and re-assessment. The assurance sections focus on where internal and external scrutiny of the operation of primary controls takes place, along with a summary of what the evidence received tells us in relation to the effectiveness of the controls which are being relied on.

Through scrutiny of principal risks at the relevant Executive Board meetings attention should be taken to recognise gaps in the primary controls (i.e. what should be in place to manage the risk but is not) and/or assurances (i.e. what evidence should be in place to tell us in relation to the effectiveness of the controls / systems which are being relied on but is not), to endorse risk ratings, and to agree and monitor appropriate actions to treat the gaps through to progression.

The principal risk rating is based on evidence in relation to the effectiveness of the primary controls which are being relied on and will be reviewed at the relevant Executive Boards, as part of a robust governance process to scrutinise the principal risk, in order to endorse a final position for reporting to the Trust Board.

**BAF Rating System: rating on the effectiveness of controls / systems which we are relying on (I x L):**

	Impact UHL Reputation (if the risk was to materialise)				
	Very Low	Minor	Moderate	Major	Extreme
Very good controls	1	2	3	4	5
Good controls	2	4	6	8	10
Limited effective controls	3	6	9	12	15
Weak controls	4	8	12	16	20
Ineffective controls	5	10	15	20	25

PR Score	PR Rating
1-6	Low
8-12	Moderate
15-20	High
25	Extreme

## 2018/19 BAF Dashboard

Principal Risk Description	Strategic Objective	Exec Direc	Exec Team	Trust Board Cmttee	Current Rating I x L	Change
1) A) If the Trust is unable to achieve and maintain the required quality and clinical effectiveness standards, <b>caused by inadequate clinical practice and/or ineffective clinical governance</b> , then it may result in widespread instances of avoidable harm to a large number of patients, affecting reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).	Quality Commitment: to deliver safe, high quality, patient centred, healthcare	MD / CN	EQB	AC / QOC	4 x 3 = 12	↔
B) If the Trust is unable to achieve and maintain the required quality and patient safety standards, <b>caused by inadequate clinical practice and/or ineffective clinical governance</b> , then it may result in widespread instances of avoidable harm to a large number of patients, affecting reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).	Quality Commitment: to deliver safe, high quality, patient centred, healthcare	MD / CN	EQB	AC / QOC	4 x 4 = 16	↔
C) If the Trust is unable to achieve and maintain the required quality and patient experience standards, <b>caused by inadequate clinical practice and/or ineffective clinical governance</b> , then it may result in widespread instances of avoidable harm to a large number of patients, affecting reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).	Quality Commitment: to deliver safe, high quality, patient centred, healthcare	MD / CN	EQB	AC / QOC	4 x 3 = 12	↔
2) If the Trust is unable to achieve and maintain the required workforce capacity and capability standards, <b>caused by employment market factors (such as availability and competition to recruit, retain and utilise a workforce with the necessary skills and experience), lack of extensive education, training and leadership, and demographic changes</b> , then it may result in widespread instances of poor clinical outcomes for patients and increased staff workloads, affecting business (finance) and reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).	We will have the right people with the right skills in the right numbers in order to deliver the most effective care	DPOD	EWB / EPB	AC / PPPC	5 x 4 = 20	↔
3) If the Trust is unable to achieve and maintain financial sustainability, <b>caused through delivery of income, the control of costs or the delivery of cost improvement plans</b> , then it will result in a failure to deliver the financial plan, affecting business (finance) and reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).	We will continue on our journey towards financial stability - deliver target 18/19	CFO	EPB	AC / FIC	5 x 4 = 20	↔
4) If the Trust is unable to effectively manage the emergency care pathway, <b>caused by persistent unprecedented level of demand for services, primary care unable to provide the service required, ineffective resources to address patient flow, and fundamental process issues</b> , then it may result in widespread instances of poor clinical outcomes for patients and sustained failure to achieve constitutional standards, affecting business (finance) and reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).	We will improve our Emergency Care Performance	COO	EPB	AC / PPPC	5 x 4 = 20	↔
5) If the Trust is unable to deliver a fit for the future IM&T service, <b>caused by inability to secure appropriate resources (including external capital and workforce), a critical infrastructure failure, ineffective system resilience and preparedness of an external IT supplier or an external shut-down attack</b> , then it may result in a significant disruption to the continuity of core critical services, affecting reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).	To progress our strategic enabler – IM&T	CIO	EIMT / EPB	AC / PPPC	4 x 4 = 16	↔
6) If the Trust does not adequately develop and maintain its estate to meet statutory compliance obligations and minimise the potential for critical infrastructure failure, <b>caused by a lack of resources to address the backlog maintenance programme, insufficient clinical decant capacity and the sheer volume of technical work to address ageing buildings</b> , then it may result in an increased risk of failure of critical plant, equipment and core critical services leading to compliance issues, risk of regulatory intervention, impact upon business and patient critical infrastructure and adverse publicity.	To progress our strategic enabler - Estates	DEF	ESB	AC / QOC	5 x 3 = 15	↔
7) If the Trust is unable to work collaboratively with partners to secure the support of community and STP stakeholders, <b>caused by breakdown of relationships amongst partners and ineffective clinical service strategies of the local population</b> , then it may result in disruption to transforming sustainable clinical services, affecting business (finance) and reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).	To develop more integrated care in partnership with others	DSC	ESB	AC / PPPC	4 x 4 = 16	↔

2018/19 BAF Bubble Chart

		← Impact →				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Rare	Minor	Moderate	Major	Extreme
Likelihood	↑	1 Rare				
	2 Unlikely					
	3 Possible				PR1A ↔ PR1C ↔	PR6 ↔
	↓	4 Likely			PR1B ↔ PR5 ↔ PR7 ↔	PR2 ↔ PR3 ↔ PR4 ↔
	5 Almost certain					



<b>DATE: @ Aug 2018</b>		<b>Director:</b>	<b>MD / CN ( SH / JJ / RB)</b>			<b>Executive Board:</b>	<b>EQB</b>		<b>TB Sub Committee:</b>		<b>AC / QOC</b>																																																
<b>Linked Objective</b>	Our Quality Commitment... to deliver safe, high quality, patient centred, healthcare: To improve patient outcomes by greater use of key clinical systems and care pathways																																																										
<b>BAF Principal Risk: 1A– Quality &amp; clinical effectiveness</b>	If the Trust is unable to achieve and maintain the required quality and clinical effectiveness standards, <i>caused by inadequate clinical practice and/or ineffective clinical governance</i> , then it may result in widespread instances of avoidable harm to a large number of patients, affecting reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).										<b>Current Risk &amp; Assurance Rating (I x L):</b>																																																
	<b>4 x 3 = 12</b>																																																										
<b>BAF Ratings</b>	<b>APR</b>	<b>MAY</b>	<b>JUN</b>	<b>JUL</b>	<b>AUG</b>	<b>SEP</b>	<b>OCT</b>	<b>NOV</b>	<b>DEC</b>	<b>JAN</b>	<b>FEB</b>	<b>MAR</b>																																															
<b>Exec Team:</b>	New risk entered in June		<b>4 x 3 = 12</b>	<b>4 x 3 = 12</b>	<b>4 x 3 = 12</b>																																																						
<b>Primary Controls</b>						<b>Detective Risk Indicators</b>																																																					
<p>Quality and Clinical Effectiveness Reporting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2018/19 UHL Quality Commitment measured through PIDs reported to EQB monthly in relation to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Improve patient outcomes by greater use of key clinical systems and care pathways.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Quality Framework (Strategy) outlining how quality is managed within the Trust reported in AOP.</li> <li>Schedule of external visits maintained and reviewed at CMG service and Exec Team levels</li> <li>Clinical service structures, resources and governance arrangements in place at Trust Exec and CMG / Specialty levels ensuring appropriate escalation of quality matters.</li> <li>UHL Q&amp;P Report reported to EPB and QOC monthly.</li> <li>Monthly reporting of Mortality Rates and Learning from Deaths (LFD) to the UHL MRC.</li> <li>CMG monthly Performance Review Meetings chaired by CN, MD, COO, CFO and DPOD.</li> <li>Reporting to Commissioner led Clinical Quality Review Group (CQRG) on compliance with quality schedule and CQUINS – including Commissioner Quality visits schedule for 2018/19.</li> <li>CQC improvement plan monitored at CMG Boards, Exec Team and Trust Board.</li> <li>NHSI Board to Board performance review meetings.</li> </ul> <p>Quality and Clinical Effectiveness Work Programmes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical Policies, guidelines, SOPs including NatSSIPs/ LocSSIPs on INsite.</li> <li>Trust wide risk management and governance structure in place including: risk register, CAS, incident reporting, Complaints, Claims &amp; Inquest management. Datix risk management software.</li> <li>Clinical audit programme, including participation in national audits.</li> <li>Consultant outcomes, participation in national clinical registries</li> <li>GIRFT and External Peer Reviews.</li> <li>Management and assessment against NICE guidance.</li> <li>Professional standards and Code of Practice / Clinical supervision.</li> <li>Appraisal and Revalidation process.</li> <li>Learning from Deaths work stream to include Medical Examiner and Specialty M&amp;M Processes and the Bereavement Support Service.</li> <li>Clinical Harm review process - Case note reviews, morbidity reviews and thematic findings.</li> <li>Analysis and benchmarking of UHL’s mortality rates using Dr Foster’s Intelligence and HED data.</li> <li>Stroke and Fractured Neck of Femur improvement programmes.</li> <li>Quality Commitment ‘Improving patient outcomes’ work programmes to include: Implementing the Clinical Frailty Score; Embedding use of Nerve Centre for all medical handover board rounds and escalation of care; Fully implement plans to standardise Red2Green.</li> </ul>						<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Ref</th> <th>Indicators</th> <th>18/19 Target</th> <th>Aug - 18</th> <th>18/19 YTD</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="8" style="background-color: #d9e1f2; text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;"><b>EFFECTIVE</b></td> <td>E1</td> <td>Readmissions &lt;30 days – Discharge work stream – one month in arrears</td> <td>Red &gt;8.6%</td> <td></td> <td style="background-color: red;">9.2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E2</td> <td>Mortality (SHMI) – JJ</td> <td>&lt;=99</td> <td style="background-color: #d9e1f2;">Jan to Dec 97</td> <td style="background-color: green;">97</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E5</td> <td>Crude Mortality Emergency Spells – JJ</td> <td>&lt;=2.4%</td> <td style="background-color: green;">1.9%</td> <td style="background-color: green;">2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E6</td> <td>#NOF &lt;36 hours – CMG / Max Chauhan</td> <td>Red &lt;72%</td> <td style="background-color: green;">82.6%</td> <td style="background-color: red;">66.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E7</td> <td>Stroke – 90% stay on stroke unit – one month in arrears – CMGs/ S SNAP – RACHEL MARSH</td> <td>Red &lt;80%</td> <td></td> <td style="background-color: green;">85.8%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E8</td> <td>Stroke – TIA – RACHEL MARSH</td> <td>Red &lt;60%</td> <td style="background-color: red;">50.4%</td> <td style="background-color: red;">61.8%</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>								Ref	Indicators	18/19 Target	Aug - 18	18/19 YTD	<b>EFFECTIVE</b>	E1	Readmissions <30 days – Discharge work stream – one month in arrears	Red >8.6%		9.2%	E2	Mortality (SHMI) – JJ	<=99	Jan to Dec 97	97	E5	Crude Mortality Emergency Spells – JJ	<=2.4%	1.9%	2%	E6	#NOF <36 hours – CMG / Max Chauhan	Red <72%	82.6%	66.4%	E7	Stroke – 90% stay on stroke unit – one month in arrears – CMGs/ S SNAP – RACHEL MARSH	Red <80%		85.8%	E8	Stroke – TIA – RACHEL MARSH	Red <60%	50.4%	61.8%										
	Ref	Indicators	18/19 Target	Aug - 18	18/19 YTD																																																						
<b>EFFECTIVE</b>	E1	Readmissions <30 days – Discharge work stream – one month in arrears	Red >8.6%		9.2%																																																						
	E2	Mortality (SHMI) – JJ	<=99	Jan to Dec 97	97																																																						
	E5	Crude Mortality Emergency Spells – JJ	<=2.4%	1.9%	2%																																																						
	E6	#NOF <36 hours – CMG / Max Chauhan	Red <72%	82.6%	66.4%																																																						
	E7	Stroke – 90% stay on stroke unit – one month in arrears – CMGs/ S SNAP – RACHEL MARSH	Red <80%		85.8%																																																						
	E8	Stroke – TIA – RACHEL MARSH	Red <60%	50.4%	61.8%																																																						

Internal Assurances	External Assurances	Gaps Identified & Pending Actions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• UHL Quality Commitment components monitored at Exec Team and QOC, quarterly.</li> <li>• Both Operational management and Executive/Board reporting is in place. Reports provide assurance and highlight threats to delivery of the programme along with any mitigating actions. Latest reports received include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ NEWS2 NPSA alert (NHS/PSA/RE/2018/003) compliance monitored via ADPB and confirmed to EQB.</li> <li>➢ Stroke - Actions currently taken have meant the TIA clinic has met the target for high risk referrals of 60% within 24 hours for the last two months. 90% Stay on a Stroke Unit has been achieved for 80% of patients for the past 12 months.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Mortality - the latest published SHMI (period January 2017 to December 2017) has reduced to 97 and is within the threshold.</li> <li>• Latest Mortality report to QOC and Trust Board highlighted capacity constraints in the Learning from Deaths programme.</li> <li>• LLR Frailty Task Force (led by UHL) is in place with a focus on identifying and responding to the needs of frail multi morbid patients. This group is responsible for the overall embedding of the CFS in ED and the wider hospital, and responding to these patients holistically in the community to ensure better outcomes and prevent readmission into acute care.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CQC comprehensive review in 2017/18 - inspectors have rated our Trust overall as Requires Improvement; rating us Good for being effective and caring, and Requires Improvement for being safe, responsive and well-led.</li> <li>• CQC unannounced inspection 29.5.18 with written feedback provided.</li> <li>• Human Fertilisation &amp; Embryology Authority Inspection – UHL’s IVF and ICSI success rates in line with national average.</li> <li>• GIRFT review of Orthopaedic Services found UHL has very low revision rates but potential area for reduction in Length of Stay</li> <li>• <b>Internal Audit Programme 2018/19:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Data Quality review – scheduled Q3;</li> <li>➢ Learning from deaths – scheduled Q3;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Internal Audit 2016/17:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Clinical Audit - medium risk (associated with CMG engagement).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><b>Mortality</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Funding approved for additional administrative and analyst support for the LFD programme – recruitment in progress to be reviewed <b>30<sup>th</sup> Sept 2018 (AMD)</b>.</li> <li>• Funding of Bereavement Support Nurses remains through CQUIN budget – <b>Review Sept 18 (AMD)</b>.</li> </ul> <p><b>#NOF</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Current action plan for NOF performance is not having the desired effect. The causes for failure to achieve this target are multiple but can be broken down into three main themes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Capacity in the system</li> <li>b. Variation in processes and embedding them into practice</li> <li>c. Variation in ownership, engagement and attitude by staff</li> </ol> </li> <li>• To address the poor performance it is planned that a core group of key individuals meet to fundamentally review the service and develop a new action plan which will address these issues.</li> <li>• This needs to be a cross-CMG working group (including MSS, ESM and ITAPS) with corporate support. This work is required urgently with the aim that a new action plan should be ready for submission to the Executive Board for scrutiny in October 2018. <b>Review Oct 18 (CMG CD)</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Readmissions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A readmission working group has been set up within UHL to understand the data and identify a mechanism to refer these patients to STP provided community neighbourhood teams. Although the process has been agreed in principle, a formal proposal has yet to be designed and tested pre-winter 2018. <b>Review Oct 18 – (HoSD)</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Frailty</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The CFS score has been built into NerveCentre and tested through August. It is ready to be formally launched across the Trust. A training and education plan has been devised specifically for ED and will be rolled out through Sept-Oct 2018. <b>Review Nov 18 – (HoSD)</b></li> </ul>

<b>DATE: @ Aug 2018</b>		<b>Director:</b>	<b>MD / CN (MD / CM)</b>	<b>Executive Board:</b>	<b>EQB</b>	<b>TB Sub Committee:</b>	<b>AC / QOC</b>									
<b>Linked Objective</b>	Our Quality Commitment... to deliver safe, high quality, patient centred, healthcare: To reduce harm by embedding a 'Safety Culture'															
<b>BAF Principal Risk: 1B – Quality &amp; patient safety</b>	If the Trust is unable to achieve and maintain the required quality and patient safety standards, <i>caused by inadequate clinical practice and/or ineffective clinical governance</i> , then it may result in widespread instances of avoidable harm to a large number of patients, affecting reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).															
<b>Current Risk &amp; Assurance Rating (I x L):</b>																
<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>																
<b>BAF Ratings</b>	<b>APR</b>	<b>MAY</b>	<b>JUN</b>	<b>JUL</b>	<b>AUG</b>	<b>SEP</b>	<b>OCT</b>	<b>NOV</b>	<b>DEC</b>	<b>JAN</b>	<b>FEB</b>	<b>MAR</b>				
<b>Exec Team:</b>	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>											
<b>Primary Controls</b>						<b>Detective Risk Indicators</b>										
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2018/19 UHL Quality Commitment measured through PIDs reported to EQB monthly in relation to:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To reduce harm by embedding a 'safety culture'.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Clinical service structures, resources and governance arrangements in place at Trust Exec and CMG / Specialty levels ensuring appropriate escalation of quality matters.</li> <li>Incident reporting and investigation policy and procedures.</li> <li>Clinical Policies, guidelines, SOPs including NatSSIPs/ LocSSIPs.</li> <li>Professional standards and Code of Practice / Clinical supervision.</li> <li>Trust wide risk management and governance structure in place including: risk register, CAS, incident reporting, Complaints, Claims &amp; Inquest management. Datix risk management software.</li> <li>Clinical audit programme &amp; monitoring arrangements including assessment against NICE guidance.</li> <li>Patient safety improvement programme including sign up to safety and patient safety portal.</li> <li>Never Events action plan and walkabout sessions.</li> <li>Infection Prevention and Control programme including policies / procedures; staff training; environmental cleaning audits and inspections.</li> <li>Freedom to Speak up Guardian and escalation processes.</li> <li>Senior leadership safety walkabout programme.</li> <li>Quality Framework (Strategy) outlining how quality is managed within the Trust reported in AOP.</li> <li>Schedule of external visits maintained and reviewed at CMG service and Exec Team levels.</li> <li>CQC improvement plan monitored at CMG Boards, Exec Team and Trust Board.</li> <li>NHSI Board to Board performance review meetings.</li> <li>Maintenance of defined safe staffing levels on wards &amp; departments – nursing and medical.</li> <li>Clinical staff recruitment campaigns, induction processes, registration and re-validation practices.</li> <li>Regular liaison meetings with Leic Coroner re hospital deaths and inquests.</li> <li>UHL Q&amp;P Report including 'safe' indicators reported to EPB monthly.</li> <li>CMG monthly Performance Review Meetings chaired by CN, MD, COO, CFO and DPOD.</li> <li>Reporting to Commissioner led Clinical Quality Review Group on compliance with quality schedule and CQUINS – including Commissioner Quality visits schedule for 2018/19.</li> <li>Learning from claims and inquests.</li> <li>Medical Examiner and Learning from Deaths reviews.</li> <li>GIRFT reports and NHSR scorecard.</li> </ul>						<b>SAFE</b>						<b>Ref</b>	<b>Indicators</b>	<b>18/19 Target</b>	<b>Aug-18</b>	<b>18/19 YTD</b>
												<b>S1</b>	<b>Reduction for moderate harm and above PSIs - reported 1 month in arrears</b>	<b>9% REDUCTION FROM FY 16/17 (&lt;12 per month)</b>		<b>90</b>
												<b>S2</b>	<b>Serious Incidents - actual number escalated each month</b>	<b>&lt;=37 by end of FY 18/19</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>20</b>
												<b>S8</b>	<b>Overdue CAS alerts</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
												<b>S10</b>	<b>Never Events</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>
												<b>S11</b>	<b>Clostridium Difficile</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>32</b>
												<b>S12</b>	<b>MRSA Bacteraemias - Unavoidable</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
												<b>S13</b>	<b>MRSA Bacteraemias (Avoidable)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
												<b>S14</b>	<b>MRSA Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
												<b>S23</b>	<b>Falls per 1,000 bed days for patients &gt; 65 years (1 month in arrears)</b>	<b>&lt;6.6</b>		<b>6.6</b>
												<b>S24</b>	<b>Avoidable Pressure Ulcers Grade 4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
												<b>S25</b>	<b>Avoidable Pressure Ulcers Grade 3</b>	<b>&lt;27</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
												<b>S26</b>	<b>Avoidable Pressure Ulcers Grade 2</b>	<b>&lt;84</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>26</b>

Internal Assurances	External Assurances	Gaps Identified & Pending Actions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annual Governance statement providing assurance on the strength of internal control regarding risk management processes endorsed by Audit Committee (May 2018).</li> <li>• Patient Safety Report (August 2018) to EQB/QOC: Three Serious Incidents escalated in July. There has been a sustained increase in the rate of PSIs reported. There are currently 11 finally approved incidents showing evidence gaps for full Duty of Candour compliance. Note the themes from the quarterly review of patient safety incidents. CAS performance is 100%.</li> <li>• 0 Never Events reported in August. The action plan has been further revised to provide further interventions at corporate and ward level to improve management of Never Events in the Trust and a gap analysis has now been undertaken.</li> <li>• Patient data to the end of August reveals that there has been an increase in harms but not major harms or deaths. This is in part due to the new reporting requirements from NHSI.</li> <li>• The Trust has experienced its first CRO Outbreak which has been well managed internally (a view shared by NHSI, PHE and commissioners). A significant amount of resource has been required to maintain patient safety particularly the costs associated with cleaning. When the outbreak is over, the Trust will complete a full Analysis as there will be lessons learned for all teams as well as other organisations across the UK.</li> <li>• Pressure Ulcers - 0 Grade 4 reported during August. Grade 3 and 2 are well within the trajectory for the month.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CQC comprehensive review in 2017/18 - inspectors rated Trust overall as Requires Improvement; rating us Good for being effective and caring, and Requires Improvement for being safe, responsive and well-led. The Trust must embed learning from never events in order to prioritise safety and reduce never events; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The Trust did not always control infection risk well - Staff did not always adhere to trust policy in relation to cleaning of equipment, completing infection control risk assessments and hand hygiene.</li> <li>➤ CQC Warning notice issued following unannounced inspection in Nov 2017 – re the care given to diabetic patients in relation to the management of their insulin requires significant improvement. Evidence supports actions have delivered improvements. However, the CCGs visited some of the same wards during April, which the CQC had visited, and found some areas still had some improvements to make.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• CQC unannounced inspection 29.5.18 with written feedback provided.</li> <li>• <b>Internal Audit Programme 2018/19:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Quality Commitment review – scheduled Q1 (insulin) &amp; Q3 QC;</li> <li>➤ Data Quality review – scheduled Q3;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Internal Audit 2016/17:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Risk management – medium risk (associated with CMG processes).</li> <li>➤ Clinical Audit - medium risk (associated with CMG engagement).</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>External Audit 2018/19:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Quality Account with an unqualified audit opinion – May 2018.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>External Audit 2016/17:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Incident reporting and evidence of validation of grading of harm – outcome assured (safety nets in place and being monitored).</li> </ul> </li> <li>• National Freedom to Speak up Guardian visit in Q3 2017 – positive verbal feedback received about systems and processes in place in UHL.</li> <li>• Parliamentary ombudsman enquires – only 1 partially upheld case in 17/18, reduced from 7 the previous year.</li> <li>• Healthwatch – independent complaints review panel – Feedback received from the Independent Complaints Review Panel that met in June 2018 and actions to be taken as a result</li> <li>• Commissioning review of the Emergency Department – report awaited.</li> <li>• Human Fertilisation &amp; Embryology Authority (HFEA) Inspection June 2018 – Two major areas of non-compliance, 1) Safety and suitability of premises (including inadequate storage facilities including for storage of liquid nitrogen dewars) and 2) Medicines management (carry over stock not recorded in the controlled drugs register and only a single patient identifier used in the controlled drugs register).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communication of key safety messages to front line staff: develop strategy to embed learning from never events in order to prioritise safety and reduce never events / patient safety culture programme to be developed / increase awareness via website and intranet broadcasting – during Q2 2018/19 (CN / MD).</li> <li>• IP team to undertake sample audit of completion of paper RA with feedback to the Nurse in Charge in real time and a report to the Matron / Review all Infection Prevention policies with a one page 'at a glance' care bundle produced for each organism / Convert current paper patient Risk Assessment (RA) booklet to electronic format – during Q2/3 2018/19 (CN).</li> <li>• Audit of Patient Safety Alerts (reference NHS Improvement letter 1<sup>st</sup> June 2018) to strengthen governance arrangements and ensure embedding of Never Event preventative barriers. Establish UHL Safety Alert Panel from September 2018 (MD).</li> <li>• Overdue RCA actions require urgent attention from relevant CMGs (CMG CDs). Items also monitored at CMG PRMs - August.</li> <li>• Improve culture and empower staff to 'Stop the Line' in all clinical areas – QC priority 2018/19 – Stop the line audit currently in progress – results expected Q2 18/19 (AMD).</li> <li>• More work required to embed systems to ensure abnormal results are recognised and acted upon – QC priority 2018/19 – Reviewed at EQB quarterly (AMD).</li> <li>• Improve the management of diabetic patients treated with Insulin – QC priority 2018/19 – Reviewed at EQB quarterly (AMD).</li> <li>• Critical nurse staffing gaps reported, especially on night shifts, in August.</li> <li>• Action plan to address the two major non-compliances in HFEA Inspection report - Consultant Embryologist, Leicester Fertility Centre &amp; Medical Director – to be reviewed at future EQB meeting.</li> </ul>











<b>DATE: @ Aug 2018</b>		<b>Director:</b>	<b>MD / CN (CR / HL)</b>		<b>Executive Board:</b>	<b>EQB</b>	<b>TB Sub Committee:</b>			<b>AC / QOC</b>																																						
<b>Linked Objective</b>	Our Quality Commitment... to deliver safe, high quality, patient centred, healthcare: To use patient feedback to drive improvements to services and care																																															
<b>BAF Principal Risk: 1C – Quality &amp; patient experience</b>	If the Trust is unable to achieve and maintain the required quality and patient experience standards, <i>caused by inadequate clinical practice and/or ineffective clinical governance</i> , then it may result in widespread instances of avoidable harm to a large number of patients, affecting reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).																																															
	<b>Current Risk &amp; Assurance Rating (I x L):</b>																																															
	<b>4 x 3 = 12</b>																																															
<b>BAF Ratings</b>	<b>APR</b>	<b>MAY</b>	<b>JUN</b>	<b>JUL</b>	<b>AUG</b>	<b>SEP</b>	<b>OCT</b>	<b>NOV</b>	<b>DEC</b>	<b>JAN</b>	<b>FEB</b>	<b>MAR</b>																																				
<b>Exec Team:</b>	New risk entered in June		<b>4 x 3 = 12</b>	<b>4 x 3 = 12</b>	<b>4 x 3 = 12</b>																																											
<b>Primary Controls</b>						<b>Detective Risk Indicators</b>																																										
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2018/19 UHL Quality Commitment measured through PIDs reported to EQB monthly in relation to:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use patient feedback to drive improvements to services and care.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Clinical service structures, resources and governance arrangements in place at Trust Exec and CMG / Specialty levels ensuring appropriate escalation of quality matters.</li> <li>Clinical Policies, guidelines, SOPs including NatSSIPs/ LocSSIPs on INsite.</li> <li>Professional standards and Code of Practice / Clinical supervision.</li> <li>Trust wide risk management and governance structure in place including: risk register, CAS, incident reporting, Complaints, Claims &amp; Inquest management. Datix risk management software.</li> <li>Clinical audit programme &amp; monitoring arrangements including assessment against NICE guidance.</li> <li>CMG monthly Performance Review Meetings chaired by CN, MD, COO, CFO and DPOD.</li> <li>Complaints process including Trust Policy.</li> <li>Staff surveys and FFTs monitored at local and Exec Team levels.</li> <li>Patient and public involvement forums and patient experience focus groups.</li> <li>Engagement / Patient Experience issues monitored through the Patient Involvement, Patient Experience and Equality Assurance Committee (PIPEEAC).</li> <li>UHL Q&amp;P Report includes ‘caring’ indicators reported to EPB and Trust Board Monthly.</li> <li>Reporting to Commissioners led Clinical Quality review Group on successful collection of feedback from patients across clinical areas.</li> </ul>						<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Ref</th> <th>Indicators</th> <th>18/19 Target</th> <th>Aug - 18</th> <th>18/19 YTD</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="5" style="text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;"><b>CARING</b></td> <td>C1</td> <td>Formal complaints rate per 1000 IP,OP and ED attendances</td> <td>No Target</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>1.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C2</td> <td>% of upheld PHSO cases</td> <td>No Target</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C3</td> <td>Published Inpatients and Daycase Friends and Family Test - % positive</td> <td>97%</td> <td>97%</td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C6</td> <td>A&amp;E Friends and Family Test - % positive</td> <td>97%</td> <td>95%</td> <td>96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C7</td> <td>Outpatients Friends and family Test - % positive</td> <td>97%</td> <td>95%</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C10</td> <td>Single sex accommodation breaches (patients affected)</td> <td>0</td> <td>6</td> <td>32</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>							Ref	Indicators	18/19 Target	Aug - 18	18/19 YTD	<b>CARING</b>	C1	Formal complaints rate per 1000 IP,OP and ED attendances	No Target	1.9	1.6	C2	% of upheld PHSO cases	No Target	0	0	C3	Published Inpatients and Daycase Friends and Family Test - % positive	97%	97%	97%	C6	A&E Friends and Family Test - % positive	97%	95%	96%	C7	Outpatients Friends and family Test - % positive	97%	95%	95%	C10	Single sex accommodation breaches (patients affected)	0	6	32
							Ref	Indicators	18/19 Target	Aug - 18	18/19 YTD																																					
						<b>CARING</b>	C1	Formal complaints rate per 1000 IP,OP and ED attendances	No Target	1.9	1.6																																					
							C2	% of upheld PHSO cases	No Target	0	0																																					
							C3	Published Inpatients and Daycase Friends and Family Test - % positive	97%	97%	97%																																					
							C6	A&E Friends and Family Test - % positive	97%	95%	96%																																					
							C7	Outpatients Friends and family Test - % positive	97%	95%	95%																																					
C10	Single sex accommodation breaches (patients affected)	0	6	32																																												

Internal Assurances	External Assurances	Gaps Identified & Pending Actions
<p>UHL Quality Commitment components monitored at Exec Team and QOC quarterly.</p> <p>Outpatient Programme Board leading and monitoring the improvements in outpatients identified in response to patient feedback. Monthly reports shared at clinic level with CMGs.</p> <p>End of Life Care and Palliative Care Committee monitors improvements to increase positive patients experience in relation to feeling involvement in care.</p> <p>The Trust seeks to ensure services develop in response to patient’s feedback and therefore all “suggestions for improvement/complaints/areas that were lacking from the patients perception”, referred to as Sfi’s, are triangulated allowing overall themes at Trust and CMG level to be derived. The CMGs are then able to demonstrate their response to this feedback.</p> <p>The Clinical Audit Team have streamlined this process facilitating the production of high level themes with minimum workload as it is acknowledged that understanding the themes from feedback from patients and monitoring CMG response to these themes is necessary to ensure patient led services and care.</p> <p>The areas for improvement identified by patients in the triangulation of feedback are the areas of focus identified in the Trust’s Quality Commitment and overseen at PIPEEAC.</p> <p>Complaints Data report (July 2018): Decrease in performance for 10, 45 day complaints and maintained performance for 25 day complaints. Disappointingly we have seen a large increase in the number of re-opened complaints this month.18% increase in activity through PILS and increased rate of formal complaints against June 2018 data. We have received one partially upheld PHSO case which relates to a failure in nursing care specifically to undertake observations in line with national guidance and failure to undertake MUST assessment and document fluid and nutrition intake. An action plan is currently being developed within the CMG to respond to this outcome and to share with the family.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CQC comprehensive review in 2017/18 - inspectors have rated our Trust overall as Requires Improvement; rating us Good for being effective and caring, and Requires Improvement for being safe, responsive and well-led.</li> <li>• CQC unannounced inspection 29.5.18 with written feedback provided.</li> <li>• <b>Internal Audit Programme 2018/19:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Quality Commitment review – scheduled Q1 (insulin) &amp; Q3 QC;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Internal Audit 2016/17:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Risk management – medium risk (associated with CMG processes).</li> <li>➢ Clinical Audit - medium risk (associated with CMG engagement).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improving experience of care for patients in the outpatient facilities. As part of the Trust’s Quality Commitment there is a Trust wide improvement plan and an Outpatient Group with representatives from all CMGs to drive this forward – QC priority 2018/19 – Reviewed at EQB quarterly (ACN).</li> <li>• Improving patient involvement in care in ED. This is being taken forward through the End of Life Care Hospital Improvement Programme (ELCHIP) programme and monitored via the End of Life and Palliative Care Committee – QC priority 2018/19 – Reviewed at EQB quarterly (ACN).</li> <li>• Independent Complaints Review Panel actions are to review ToR and to revise complaint letter templates to include mentioning PHSO in first response letter – due Q2 2018/19 (DSR).</li> </ul>

<b>DATE: @ August 2018</b>		<b>Director:</b>		<b>DPOD</b>		<b>Executive Board:</b>		<b>EWB</b>		<b>TB Sub Committee:</b>		<b>AC / PPPC</b>																																																																	
<b>Linked Objective</b>		We will have the right people with the right skills in the right numbers in order to deliver the most effective care																																																																											
<b>BAF Principal Risk: 2 - workforce</b>		If the Trust is unable to achieve and maintain the required workforce capacity and capability standards, <i>caused by employment market factors (such as availability and competition to recruit, retain and utilise a workforce with the necessary skills and experience), lack of extensive education, training and leadership, and demographic changes</i> , then it may result in widespread instances of poor clinical outcomes for patients and increased staff workloads, affecting business (finance) and reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).										<b>Current Risk &amp; Assurance Rating (I x L):</b>																																																																	
												<b>5 x 4 = 20</b>																																																																	
<b>BAF Ratings</b>		<b>APR</b>	<b>MAY</b>	<b>JUN</b>	<b>JUL</b>	<b>AUG</b>	<b>SEP</b>	<b>OCT</b>	<b>NOV</b>	<b>DEC</b>	<b>JAN</b>	<b>FEB</b>	<b>MAR</b>																																																																
<b>Exec Team:</b>		<b>5 x 4 = 20</b>	<b>5 x 4 = 20</b>	<b>5 x 4 = 20</b>	<b>5 x 4 = 20</b>	<b>5 x 4 = 20</b>																																																																							
<b>Primary Controls</b>						<b>Detective Risk Indicators</b>																																																																							
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Executive Workforce Board (meet Quarterly) – reports to Trust Board.</li> <li>People, Process and Performance Committee – Sub-committee of the Trust Board (meet monthly) – report to Trust Board.</li> <li>Local workforce Action Group – report to – Local Workforce Action Board – report to – LLR Senior Leadership Team.</li> <li>Leadership and people management policies, processes and professional support tools (including training &amp; UHL Way tools).</li> <li>Temporary staffing approval and recruitment process with appropriate authorisation levels.</li> <li>Vacancy management and recruitment/ retention system and processes – i.e. TRAC system. Revised ERCB Board and CON in place from July 2018.</li> <li>Staff communication &amp; engagement forums – <i>LiA events, Ask the Boss events, Freedom to Speak up forum, Insite staffroom forum.</i></li> <li>Staff appraisal systems and people capability framework.</li> <li>Core Skills Learning &amp; Development including statutory &amp; mandatory training system – i.e. HELM.</li> <li>Employee Health &amp; Wellbeing Plan.</li> <li>Equality &amp; Diversity Board, delivery plan, dedicated lead in place, and Equality Impact assessments undertaken for policy and procedure function.</li> <li>Defined safe medical and nurse staffing levels for all wards and departments.</li> <li>Medical Education Workforce Group &amp; Medical Education and Training Committee – report to EWB (Quarterly).</li> <li>Embedded Medical Education Strategy to address specialty specific shortcomings.</li> <li>GMC 'Approval and Recognition' of Clinical and Educational Supervisors.</li> <li>Working with deanery and medical schools re medical staffing (gaps).</li> <li>CMG Performance Review/Assurance Meetings (Monthly).</li> <li>Establishment of financial recovery board (FRB) and executive oversight of workforce actions.</li> <li>Cultural Ambassador Programme, delivered by the RCN, following concerns regarding the disproportionate impact of formal disciplinary and grievance processes on BAME staff.</li> </ul>						<b>Well Led</b>						<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ref</th> <th>Indicators</th> <th>Red RAG/ Exception Report Threshold (ER)</th> <th>Aug-18</th> <th>18/19 YTD</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>W7</td> <td>Friends &amp; Family staff survey: % of staff who would recommend the trust as place to work (from Pulse Check)</td> <td>TBC</td> <td></td> <td>60.3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W8</td> <td>Nursing Vacancies overall</td> <td>Separate report submitted to QOC</td> <td>14.4%</td> <td>14.1%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W10</td> <td>Turnover Rate</td> <td>Red = 11% or above ER = Red for 3 Consecutive Mths</td> <td>8.3%</td> <td>8.3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W11</td> <td>Sickness absence (reported 1 month in arrears)</td> <td>Red if &gt;4% ER if 3 consecutive mths &gt;4.0%</td> <td></td> <td>3.6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W12</td> <td>Temporary costs and overtime as a % of total payroll</td> <td>TBC</td> <td>10.8%</td> <td>11.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W13</td> <td>% of Staff with Annual Appraisal (excluding facilities Services)</td> <td>Red if &lt;90% ER if 3 consecutive mths &lt;90%</td> <td>91.6%</td> <td>91.6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W14</td> <td>Statutory and Mandatory Training</td> <td>95%</td> <td>88%</td> <td>88%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W15</td> <td>% Corporate Induction attendance</td> <td>Red if &lt;90% ER if 3 consecutive mths &lt;90%</td> <td>95%</td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W16</td> <td>BME % - Leadership (8A – Including Medical Consultants)</td> <td>4% improvement on Qtr 1 baseline</td> <td></td> <td>28%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W20</td> <td>DAY Safety staffing fill rate - Average fill rate - registered nurses/midwives (%)</td> <td>TBC</td> <td>77.3%</td> <td>84.1%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W22</td> <td>NIGHT Safety staffing fill rate - Average fill rate - registered nurses/midwives (%)</td> <td>TBC</td> <td>84.8%</td> <td>91.2%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						Ref	Indicators	Red RAG/ Exception Report Threshold (ER)	Aug-18	18/19 YTD	W7	Friends & Family staff survey: % of staff who would recommend the trust as place to work (from Pulse Check)	TBC		60.3%	W8	Nursing Vacancies overall	Separate report submitted to QOC	14.4%	14.1%	W10	Turnover Rate	Red = 11% or above ER = Red for 3 Consecutive Mths	8.3%	8.3%	W11	Sickness absence (reported 1 month in arrears)	Red if >4% ER if 3 consecutive mths >4.0%		3.6%	W12	Temporary costs and overtime as a % of total payroll	TBC	10.8%	11.4%	W13	% of Staff with Annual Appraisal (excluding facilities Services)	Red if <90% ER if 3 consecutive mths <90%	91.6%	91.6%	W14	Statutory and Mandatory Training	95%	88%	88%	W15	% Corporate Induction attendance	Red if <90% ER if 3 consecutive mths <90%	95%	97%	W16	BME % - Leadership (8A – Including Medical Consultants)	4% improvement on Qtr 1 baseline		28%	W20	DAY Safety staffing fill rate - Average fill rate - registered nurses/midwives (%)	TBC	77.3%	84.1%	W22	NIGHT Safety staffing fill rate - Average fill rate - registered nurses/midwives (%)	TBC	84.8%	91.2%
												Ref	Indicators	Red RAG/ Exception Report Threshold (ER)	Aug-18	18/19 YTD																																																													
												W7	Friends & Family staff survey: % of staff who would recommend the trust as place to work (from Pulse Check)	TBC		60.3%																																																													
												W8	Nursing Vacancies overall	Separate report submitted to QOC	14.4%	14.1%																																																													
												W10	Turnover Rate	Red = 11% or above ER = Red for 3 Consecutive Mths	8.3%	8.3%																																																													
												W11	Sickness absence (reported 1 month in arrears)	Red if >4% ER if 3 consecutive mths >4.0%		3.6%																																																													
												W12	Temporary costs and overtime as a % of total payroll	TBC	10.8%	11.4%																																																													
												W13	% of Staff with Annual Appraisal (excluding facilities Services)	Red if <90% ER if 3 consecutive mths <90%	91.6%	91.6%																																																													
												W14	Statutory and Mandatory Training	95%	88%	88%																																																													
												W15	% Corporate Induction attendance	Red if <90% ER if 3 consecutive mths <90%	95%	97%																																																													
												W16	BME % - Leadership (8A – Including Medical Consultants)	4% improvement on Qtr 1 baseline		28%																																																													
												W20	DAY Safety staffing fill rate - Average fill rate - registered nurses/midwives (%)	TBC	77.3%	84.1%																																																													
												W22	NIGHT Safety staffing fill rate - Average fill rate - registered nurses/midwives (%)	TBC	84.8%	91.2%																																																													

Internal Assurances	External Assurances	Gaps Identified & Pending Actions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Workforce risks in CMGs recorded on organisational risk register – <i>majority relate to nursing and medical.</i></li> <li>• Workforce and Organisational Development Plan, with a delivery plan to reduce our nursing and medical vacancy rates and reduce time to hire reports agreed at EWB in July 2018.</li> <li>• Staffing levels on wards (for nursing and medical groups) continue to be challenging and are monitored through daily operational command meetings, with action plans identified to mitigate operational pressures, and reported to Exec Boards.</li> <li>• UHL Medical Education Survey - <i>415 junior doctors responded to the survey in 2018. 88% recommend UHL as a place to work, which is an improvement since March 2017 (83%).</i></li> <li>• Monitoring agency spends and tracker through Premium Spend Group with EWB, EPB, PPPC oversight.</li> <li>• Friends &amp; Family staff survey 2017: – <i>4808 returned a completed survey, giving a response rate of 34%, a decrease of 2.2% from 2016. Compared to the 2016 survey, in 2017 scored:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Significantly BETTER on 3 questions</i></li> <li>○ <i>Significantly WORSE on 4 questions</i></li> <li>○ <i>The scores show no significant difference on 81 questions</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <i>57% of staff would recommend the trust as place to work (from Pulse Check – March 2018).</i></li> <li>• Our latest national staff survey results for 2017 were not as good as the improving trend we saw in previous years.</li> <li>• Equality and Diversity Board discussions on workforce race equality targets show current overall workforce reflects local BAME communities (32%) and that leadership representation is continually improving (14.2% up from 13.6% year-end).</li> <li>• We now have 9 Cultural Ambassadors.</li> <li>• CMG Performance Review / Assurance Meetings – <i>all CMGs reviewed during July and appropriate action plans developed and being monitored.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Internal Audit 2018/19:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Workforce planning – scheduled Q2 – to review the Trust’s progress in developing the 18/19 workforce plan and the 2018-2023 strategic workforce plan.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• GMC visit report – <i>GMC survey results due in June 2018.</i></li> <li>• HEEM quality management visits - <i>HEE re-visited Cardio-respiratory on May 4th 2018 to review progress against their action plan – formal report is awaited.</i></li> <li>• Leicester Medical School feedback – <i>retention rate report awaited.</i></li> <li>• Performance monitored by NIHR Central Commissioning Facility – <i>UHL are currently ranked 11<sup>th</sup> in league one and delivering 76% of trial to time and target (March 2018).</i></li> <li>• East Midlands Clinical Research Network – <i>UHL remains the highest recruiting Trust within the East Midlands (March 2018).</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We will launch our People Strategy in Q2 2018/19 to attract, recruit &amp; retain a workforce that reflects our local communities across all levels of the Trust, with a specific focus on meeting the Workforce Race Equality Standards. Refresh of People strategy taking place and to EWB in October 2018 to ensure alignment.</li> <li>• Improve levels of employment from distinct populations/ communities to all levels of the Trust e.g. MOD veterans, disabled people, women, BAME, LGBT so they are representative of LLR population. Targets for each agreed at Diversity Board meeting and PPPC in July 2018.</li> <li>• Based on the feedback in the national staff survey, key themes to make improvements during 2018/19 are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Making appraisals more meaningful</li> <li>○ Treating our staff equally</li> <li>○ Looking after UHL – health and well-being</li> <li>○ Tackling behaviours</li> </ul> <p>Health &amp; wellbeing annual plan agreed at EWB in July 2018.</p> </li> <li>• Creation of CT3/FY3 innovative posts in order to aide retention of Junior Doctors by providing greater training experience and reduced agency costs and improve out of hours cover. Development plan incorporated into CMG workforce plans with oversight obtained by EWB quarterly.</li> <li>• Review of Undergraduate and Postgraduate medical education roles (including Educational Supervisors) to ensure identified time included in job plans.</li> </ul>



<b>DATE: @ August 2018</b>		<b>Director:</b> CFO		<b>Executive Board:</b> EPB		<b>TB Sub Committee:</b>		<b>AC / FIC</b>					
<b>Linked Objective</b>		We will continue on our journey towards financial stability - deliver our target of £29.9m in 18/19											
<b>BAF Principal Risk: 3 - Finance</b>		If the Trust is unable to achieve and maintain financial sustainability, <i>caused through delivery of income, the control of costs or the delivery of cost improvement plans</i> , then it will result in a failure to deliver the financial plan, affecting business (finance) and reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).											
<b>BAF Ratings</b>		<b>Current Risk &amp; Assurance Rating (1 x L):</b>											
		5 x 4 = 20											
<b>Exec Team:</b>		APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR
		5 x 4 = 20	5 x 4 = 20	5 x 4 = 20	4 x 5 = 20	4 x 5 = 20							
<b>Primary Controls</b>						<b>Detective Risk Indicators</b>							
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Annual and long-term financial model describing a statement of income and expenditure, a statement of long and short term assets and liabilities (including capital expenditure) and a statement of cash flow.</li> <li>Working capital, capital loan, and internal capital funding arrangements.</li> <li>CIP Plans for CMGs and Corporate Departments with cross-cutting schemes being supported by corporate based resource in addition to local CMG transformation leads.</li> <li>Finance Improvement and Technical planning processes and project management led coordination of delivery.</li> <li>Control Totals for CMGs and Corporate Departments that are being monitored and managed within the Financial Accountability Framework.</li> <li>Appropriate level of investment supporting the resolution of the demand/capacity challenges.</li> <li>Financial governance and performance monitoring arrangements at Trust Board (FIC), Audit Committee, Executive (EPB), directorate and CMG service line levels.</li> <li>Cost pressures and service developments minimised and managed through RIC and CEO chaired 'Star Chamber'.</li> <li>NHS I performance review meetings including I&amp;E submissions and additional monthly review meetings with NHSI Finance team to review financial position including CIP and assessment of financial risks.</li> <li>Commercial Strategy - to help exploit commercial opportunities available to the Trust and working with NHSI to ensure a consistent and jointly agreed position statement is made with regards the Trust's subsidiary company.</li> <li>Corporate Services review (in line with the requirements of the Carter report).</li> <li>Quality safeguards - to reduce expenditure are subject to Quality Impact Assessment – overseen by the COO, Medical Director, Chief Nurse &amp; CFO.</li> <li>Financial Recovery Board chaired by CEO. Meets fortnightly to monitor progress of the Financial Recovery Action Plan.</li> <li>Financial Recovery Operational Group is in place to support the work of the Financial Recovery Board and the delivery of the benefits.</li> </ul>						<h2 style="text-align: center;">August 2018: Key Facts</h2> <div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; align-items: center;"> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; width: 100%;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p><b>Patient Income</b> £7.1mF</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p><b>Other Income</b> £0.8mF</p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; width: 100%;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p><b>Substantive pay</b> £8.1mA</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p><b>Agency</b> £0.4mF</p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; width: 100%;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p><b>Non Pay</b> £0.1mA</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p><b>Non Operating Costs</b> In line</p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; width: 100%;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p><b>EBITDA</b> £0.1mF</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p><b>CIP</b> £0.4mF</p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; width: 100%;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p><b>Liquidity Indicators</b></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p><b>Capital</b> £3.2mF</p> </div> </div> </div>							

Internal Assurances	External Assurances	Gaps Identified & Pending Actions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CFO's Financial Reports to EPB (monthly) key issues considered at the meeting for month 5 relate to delivering the planned deficit of £23.8m. The financial impact caused by the recent NHSI decision to not allow the LLP to go live in October 2018 will be recognised within Q2 reporting and as agreed by NHSI.</li> <li>• The income position has over-performed and a corresponding overspend within non-pay has been seen. The pay bill (substantive) is overspent by £8.1m to plan (including £4.3m relating to A4C national pay award). Cost improvement plans are in line to plan at month 5. Capital expenditure has under-spent within the year to date position and will not lead to an over spend within the programme. Cash flow and deficit funding has been received in line with the submitted plan.</li> <li>• FIC Summary to Trust board (Monthly). Key issues are as described above and as reported to EPB. The Committee also reviewed the additional report detailing a more granular analysis of the Trust's cash position.</li> <li>• Capital Monitoring and Investment Committee (monthly). A detailed review of month 4 capital expenditure was reviewed with key variances explored in the context of the overall capital programme.</li> <li>• Revenue Investment Committee (monthly). The committee had a limited number of business cases for review. All actions are being progressed.</li> <li>• Update on the Commercial Strategy. The Trust Board, at its last thinking day, has an agreed approach to ensure successful delivery of year 2 of the commercial strategy.</li> <li>• Alliance Contract. This quarterly review was discussed and reviewed at the Executive Quality Board in May.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>External Audit of Financial Systems 2018/19:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Work programme for 2018/19 to be reviewed and approved at the relevant meeting of the Audit Committee.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Internal Audit 2018/19:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Financial systems Q3 - financial systems controls work to meet the requirements of External Audit and to address specific risks identified by management. Work will include data analysis on specific areas of risk in order to identify trends/ anomalies and to direct our controls-based work.</li> <li>➤ Review of cost improvement programme Q2 - will review the adequacy of arrangements for delivery of the CIP and the robustness of planning for future years.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• NHSI Carter Corporate Service review: - <i>Carter Target for back office cost to be no more than 6% of turnover by March 2020.</i> The Trust's Director of Efficiency and CIP is leading this initiative, as part of the overall review of Model Hospital, and engaging across the Corporate Teams to ensure robust plans are in place to achieve the 2020 target.</li> <li>• Four Eyes support is being deployed within the cross cutting theatre/elective pathway work-stream.</li> <li>• NHSI increased scrutiny through monthly performance review meetings and specific Finance focused monthly meetings.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Gap:</b> Effectiveness of budget management and control at CMG and Corporate directorate levels.</p> <p><b>Actions:</b> 2018/19 planning requires the delivery of a deficit of £29.9m inclusive of a £51m CIP programme. Each CMG and Corporate Directorate has an allocated budget totalling £29.9m however due to the current work in progress with respect of demand and capacity modelling CMGs are yet to sign-off a fully phased month by month budgetary control position in line with the accountability framework. This process has concluded with MSS being finalised as part of month 5 reporting.</p> <p>Within June the Trust received a revised Control Total offer from NHSI. This revised Control Total was subject to review and subsequent approval at a special Trust Board meeting held on 18 June 2018. As a response to this challenge a Financial Recovery Board has been created and is chaired by the CEO. The financial recovery board action plan currently has an identified gap of £11m and includes the risk within the Cost Improvement Programme of £3.2m when compared to the target of £51m. The Financial Recovery Board meets fortnightly with each work-stream being sponsored by an Executive Director.</p> <p>Star chamber process (led by CEO) reviewing the new investment requirements. There is a significant shortfall in available funding compared to the complete list of investment requirements with the Star Chamber prioritising and approving spend. The allocation of funds to investment requirements has been agreed but further scrutiny is required and forms part of the Financial Recovery Board.</p> <p>The capital programme has been approved by CMIC and then further ratification by the Star Chamber in May. The relevant scheme holders are providing further analysis on a risk based assessment detailing the potential risks due to the limited availability of capital funds.</p> <p>Cash flow and enhanced cash reporting continues to be reviewed and discussed at FIC. Cash for deficit funding has been received in line with planned levels. This planned level of cash excludes any additional working capital requirements that may be required.</p>

<b>DATE: @ August 2018</b>		<b>Director:</b>		<b>COO</b>		<b>Executive Board:</b>		<b>EPB</b>		<b>TB Sub Committee:</b>		<b>AC / QOC / PPPC</b>											
<b>Linked Objective</b>		We will improve our Emergency Care performance																					
<b>BAF Principal Risk: 4 – Emergency care</b>		If the Trust is unable to effectively manage the emergency care pathway, <i>caused by persistent unprecedented level of demand for services, primary care unable to provide the service required, ineffective resources to address patient flow, and fundamental process issues</i> , then it may result in widespread instances of poor clinical outcomes for patients and sustained failure to achieve constitutional standards, affecting business (finance) and reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).										<b>Current Risk &amp; Assurance Rating (1 x L):</b>											
												<b>5 x 4 = 20</b>											
<b>BAF Ratings</b>		<b>APR</b>	<b>MAY</b>	<b>JUN</b>	<b>JUL</b>	<b>AUG</b>	<b>SEP</b>	<b>OCT</b>	<b>NOV</b>	<b>DEC</b>	<b>JAN</b>	<b>FEB</b>	<b>MAR</b>										
<b>Exec Team:</b>		<b>5 x 4 = 20</b>	<b>5 x 4 = 20</b>	<b>5 x 4 = 20</b>	<b>5 x 4 = 20</b>	<b>5 x 4 = 20</b>																	
<b>Primary Controls</b>						<b>Detective Risk Indicators</b>																	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Emergency management:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Emergency care pathway;</li> <li>➤ 4 times daily operational command meeting;</li> <li>➤ Capacity Flow and escalation policy;</li> <li>➤ Robust escalation protocols including OPEL triggers, CMG triggers, Full Hospital Process, Breach process for 8, 10 &amp; 12 hour occurrences;</li> <li>➤ LLR system calls daily to review the position and ensure whole system responsiveness;</li> <li>➤ NHSI reporting;</li> <li>➤ System support provided by the National Emergency Care Improvement Programme (ECIP).</li> <li>➤ Red to Green embedded in medicine and RRCV and Trauma.</li> <li>➤ In Hospital (SAFER Care Bundle, Ambulatory Care and workforce) and Out of Hospital (DIOC) as well as admission prevention &amp; avoidance projects.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Forums to identify and implement changes:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ A&amp;E Delivery Board and sub groups - system wide actions, chaired by CCG MD.</li> <li>➤ New Emergency Care Board chaired by the COO.</li> <li>➤ Flow and Outflow board.</li> <li>➤ Monthly winter planning forum.</li> <li>➤ Demand and capacity work streams including plans for the vital few.</li> <li>➤ Performance Review and Assurance arrangement between CMGs, Specialties and Executive Directors / Executive Team.</li> <li>➤ System wide Frailty Board chaired by UHL CEO.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Emergency performance monitoring:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ 4 hour wait;</li> <li>➤ ED attendances;</li> <li>➤ Time to assessment;</li> <li>➤ Time to discharge;</li> <li>➤ Total breaches;</li> <li>➤ Emergency admissions;</li> <li>➤ Beds status.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						Responsive						<b>Q&amp;P Ref</b>	<b>Indicators</b>	<b>18/19 Target</b>	<b>18/19 Red RAG/ Exception Report Threshold (ER)</b>	<b>Aug-18</b>	<b>18/19 YTD</b>						
												R1	ED 4 Hour Waits UHL	95% or above	Red if <85% Green 90%+	76.3%	79.9%						
												R2	ED 4 Hour Waits UHL + LLR UCC (Type 3)	95% or above	Red if <85% Green 90%+	83.0%	85.6%						
												R3	12 hour trolley waits in A&E	0	Red if >0 ER via ED TB report	0	0						
												R12	% Operations cancelled for non-clinical reasons on or after the day of admission UHL + ALLIANCE	0.8% or below	Red if >0.8% ER if >0.8%	0.9%	1.2%						
												R14	Delayed transfers of care	3.5% or below	Red if >3.5% ER if Red for 3 consecutive mths	1.6%	1.4%						
												R15	Ambulance Handover >60 Mins (CAD+ from June 15)	0	Red if >0 ER if Red for 3 consecutive mths	3%	2%						
												R16	Ambulance Handover >30 Mins and <60 mins (CAD+ from June 15)	0	Red if >0 ER if Red for 3 consecutive mths	8%	6%						

Internal Assurances	External Assurances	Gaps Identified & Pending Actions, responsible officer & measure
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There remain significant nursing and medical staffing vacancies in ED and Specialist Medicine. This is a CMG board agenda item and there is a CMG recruitment plan to manage vacancies supported by corporate nursing. Additional medical staff commence in post in October. Alternative skill mix models are being considered and have been implemented e.g. medical step down ward. Additional investment in Phase II emergency floor posts currently being recruited.</li> <li>• ED process:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Time from arrival to decision to admit was 49% (average) in August a 1% improvement from July</li> <li>➢ Bed request to allocation in 60 mins was 45% (average) in August. A 7% improvement from July</li> </ul> </li> <li>• DTOC:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Remain within tolerance</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Acuity:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Reducing number 80+ age in ESM beds</li> <li>➢ Super stranded numbers improvements in MSS and ESM but deterioration in CHUGGS and RRCV. Deputy Medical Director to support discussions.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Internal Action plans:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Recovery action plan</li> <li>➢ Winter plan</li> </ul> </li> <li>• CMGs have a range of operational demand and capacity risks reported on the UHL Trust risk register which (for items scoring 15+) is reported to Exec Team and Trust Board monthly.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NHSE national ranking official figures: 105 – 127 (out of 137).</li> <li>• NHSE August data – UHL 4 hour performance = 76.3%. LLR performance = 83.0%.</li> <li>• AEDB fortnightly to manage system wide actions.</li> <li>• NHSI Escalation meetings to provide system wide assurance.</li> <li>• <b>Internal Audit 2018/19:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Review of ED front door service contract - scheduled Q1.</li> <li>➢ Discharge processes – Red to Green – scheduled Q2 - to review how effectively the Red to Green process is operating and how well embedded this is across the Trust.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Stranded:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Rated by NHSI in the best performing group as an organisation - Decreased +21 day LOS.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IT Booking systems for DHU and OOH (MN - 1.9.18 – system available to measure outcome);</li> <li>• Nerve centre embedding with teams to increase usability (CMG Heads of Ops 1.10.18 – admission discharge and transfer data to measure outcome);</li> <li>• Red to Green in medicine and RRCV – gap in delivery in the rest of the organisation (GS - 1.1.19 – gradual role out across UHL – Red to Green metrics to measure outcome - started in Children’s 20/08/18).</li> <li>• Significant bed gap – activity and demand planning and bridge for the gap is under development (SL - 1.6.18 gap identified and actions to bridge – action log to measure outcome);</li> <li>• Variation in process in ED and on the wards (Heads of ops – minimise pre winter 1.10.18 – NAB performance to measure outcome);</li> <li>• TASL resource flexibility – managed via CCG (JD 1.10.18 – decrease re- beds – TASL data to measure outcome);</li> <li>• ESM nursing and medical staffing vacancies – managed by CMG Board (Heads of Ops – on-going recruitment strategy – vacancy numbers to measure outcome);</li> <li>• DHU staffing gaps – managed through weekly meetings with ESM CMG and DHU and through Executive presence (MN -1.8.18 – measured by staffing numbers increasing). Trial of new assessment/deflection process at front door starting on 18/09/18 – 2 different rapid cycle tests being explored.</li> </ul> <p>Urgent care action log has further details about the actions, owners and completion dates.</p>

<b>DATE: @ August 2018</b>		<b>Director:</b>		<b>CIO</b>		<b>Executive Board:</b>		<b>EIM&amp;T (quarterly)/EPB</b>		<b>TB Sub Committee:</b>		<b>AC / PPPC</b>																																				
<b>Linked Objective</b>		To progress our strategic enabler – IM&T																																														
<b>BAF Principal Risk: 5 – Information Technology</b>		If the Trust is unable to deliver a fit for the future IM&T service, <i>caused by inability to secure appropriate resources (including external capital and workforce), a critical infrastructure failure, ineffective system resilience and preparedness of an external IT supplier or an external shut-down attack</i> , then it may result in a significant disruption to the continuity of core critical services, affecting reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).										<b>Current Risk &amp; Assurance Rating (I x L):</b>																																				
												<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>																																				
<b>BAF Ratings</b>		<b>APR</b>	<b>MAY</b>	<b>JUN</b>	<b>JUL</b>	<b>AUG</b>	<b>SEP</b>	<b>OCT</b>	<b>NOV</b>	<b>DEC</b>	<b>JAN</b>	<b>FEB</b>	<b>MAR</b>																																			
<b>Exec Team:</b>		<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>																																										
<b>Primary Controls</b>						<b>Detective Risk Indicators</b>																																										
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IM&amp;T eHospital (previously known as Paperless hospital 2020) strategy including Board structure and clinical leads in place.</li> <li>Overarching 18/19 IM&amp;T strategic plan.</li> <li>Cyber security measures in place including regular assessments and close working relationship with managed business partner.</li> <li>Information Governance arrangements including IG toolkit, IG Steering Group and GDPR plan.</li> <li>Working arrangements aligned with clinical strategies through clinical and medical workforce information officers.</li> <li>Disaster Recover plans in place for IM&amp;T systems.</li> <li>IM&amp;T governance and performance monitoring through IM&amp;T Service Board reporting to Trust Board (via FIC/PPPC), Audit Committee and Executive (EMI&amp;T).</li> <li>IT Network providers early warning notifications monitored.</li> <li>Resources against service demand – IM&amp;T prioritise CMGs work requests/demands against their service constraints through the IT request form and prioritisation matrix.</li> <li>Organisational change capacity – CMGs liaise with IM&amp;T to agree IM&amp;T support required to implement new IT programmes / systems for each (sub) project. Process defined in the PID and LORA (local organisational readiness assessment).</li> <li>CMGs Business Continuity Plans (following BIAs) included in the EPRR work plan and progress monitored through UHL EPRR Board.</li> </ul>						<h2 style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px;">Paperless Hospital 2020 - Roadmap 18/19</h2> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 10px; border-radius: 15px; font-weight: bold; font-size: 24px;">KPI</div> <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 10px; border-radius: 15px; font-weight: bold; font-size: 24px;">Q1</div> <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 10px; border-radius: 15px; font-weight: bold; font-size: 24px;">Q2</div> <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 10px; border-radius: 15px; font-weight: bold; font-size: 24px;">Q3</div> <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 10px; border-radius: 15px; font-weight: bold; font-size: 24px;">Q4</div> </div> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <tr> <td style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px;">EUC – VDI to 1600 users 5,500 XP desktops &gt; 5 yrs old</td> <td style="background-color: #008000; color: white; padding: 5px;">Sign Off Proposal &amp; PID</td> <td style="background-color: #FF8C00; color: white; padding: 5px;">10% roll-out</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6; color: black; padding: 5px;">50% roll-out</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6; color: black; padding: 5px;">100% roll-out</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px;">Computerising Services to OPD – Replacement desktops</td> <td style="background-color: #008000; color: white; padding: 5px;">Sign Off Proposal &amp; PID</td> <td style="background-color: #FF8C00; color: white; padding: 5px;">Devices to Cardiology &amp; ENT</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6; color: black; padding: 5px;">Devices roll-out in line with OCS in OP</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6; color: black; padding: 5px;">Priority desktops replaced in OPD</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px;">Computerising Services to OPD – Implementation ICE Order Comms</td> <td style="background-color: #008000; color: white; padding: 5px;">ICE v7 &amp; HW/SW optimisation</td> <td style="background-color: #FF8C00; color: white; padding: 5px;">OCS roll-out in Cardiology &amp; ENT</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6; color: black; padding: 5px;">Lessons learnt &amp; OCS roll-out plan</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6; color: black; padding: 5px;">OCS in OPD</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px;">Quality Commitment Nerve Centre Paperless Nursing Forms</td> <td style="background-color: #008000; color: white; padding: 5px;">Adult Risk Assessment Forms</td> <td style="background-color: #FF8C00; color: white; padding: 5px;">Fluid Balance, Risk Assess, Purple Booklet</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6; color: black; padding: 5px;">Next Batch Confirmed and in development</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6; color: black; padding: 5px;">Nursing Assessment Forms electronic</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px;">Quality Commitment ICE Acknowledging Results</td> <td style="background-color: #008000; color: white; padding: 5px;">Implement ICE v7 for mobile ICE</td> <td style="background-color: #FF8C00; color: white; padding: 5px;">SOPs, Mobile devices &amp; BI reporting in place</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6; color: black; padding: 5px;">ICE configuration &amp; IT equip released to 1<sup>st</sup> tranche</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6; color: black; padding: 5px;">Supported in BAU</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px;">e-PMA on All Wards across UHL</td> <td style="background-color: #008000; color: white; padding: 5px;">PID signed off</td> <td style="background-color: #FF8C00; color: white; padding: 5px;">Upgrade e-PMA v10/HW (deferred)</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6; color: black; padding: 5px;">Implementation LRI</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6; color: black; padding: 5px;">Implementation GH/LGH</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px;">Localisation of GE PACS</td> <td style="background-color: #008000; color: white; padding: 5px;">Infrastructure Provisioned</td> <td style="background-color: #FF8C00; color: white; padding: 5px;">Data Migration expected to be Complete Q2</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6; color: black; padding: 5px;">System Live</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6; color: black; padding: 5px;">GE PACS at UHL</td> </tr> </table>								EUC – VDI to 1600 users 5,500 XP desktops > 5 yrs old	Sign Off Proposal & PID	10% roll-out	50% roll-out	100% roll-out	Computerising Services to OPD – Replacement desktops	Sign Off Proposal & PID	Devices to Cardiology & ENT	Devices roll-out in line with OCS in OP	Priority desktops replaced in OPD	Computerising Services to OPD – Implementation ICE Order Comms	ICE v7 & HW/SW optimisation	OCS roll-out in Cardiology & ENT	Lessons learnt & OCS roll-out plan	OCS in OPD	Quality Commitment Nerve Centre Paperless Nursing Forms	Adult Risk Assessment Forms	Fluid Balance, Risk Assess, Purple Booklet	Next Batch Confirmed and in development	Nursing Assessment Forms electronic	Quality Commitment ICE Acknowledging Results	Implement ICE v7 for mobile ICE	SOPs, Mobile devices & BI reporting in place	ICE configuration & IT equip released to 1 <sup>st</sup> tranche	Supported in BAU	e-PMA on All Wards across UHL	PID signed off	Upgrade e-PMA v10/HW (deferred)	Implementation LRI	Implementation GH/LGH	Localisation of GE PACS	Infrastructure Provisioned	Data Migration expected to be Complete Q2	System Live	GE PACS at UHL
						EUC – VDI to 1600 users 5,500 XP desktops > 5 yrs old	Sign Off Proposal & PID	10% roll-out	50% roll-out	100% roll-out																																						
						Computerising Services to OPD – Replacement desktops	Sign Off Proposal & PID	Devices to Cardiology & ENT	Devices roll-out in line with OCS in OP	Priority desktops replaced in OPD																																						
						Computerising Services to OPD – Implementation ICE Order Comms	ICE v7 & HW/SW optimisation	OCS roll-out in Cardiology & ENT	Lessons learnt & OCS roll-out plan	OCS in OPD																																						
						Quality Commitment Nerve Centre Paperless Nursing Forms	Adult Risk Assessment Forms	Fluid Balance, Risk Assess, Purple Booklet	Next Batch Confirmed and in development	Nursing Assessment Forms electronic																																						
						Quality Commitment ICE Acknowledging Results	Implement ICE v7 for mobile ICE	SOPs, Mobile devices & BI reporting in place	ICE configuration & IT equip released to 1 <sup>st</sup> tranche	Supported in BAU																																						
						e-PMA on All Wards across UHL	PID signed off	Upgrade e-PMA v10/HW (deferred)	Implementation LRI	Implementation GH/LGH																																						
						Localisation of GE PACS	Infrastructure Provisioned	Data Migration expected to be Complete Q2	System Live	GE PACS at UHL																																						
						<i>10<sup>th</sup> Sep 2018</i>																																										

Internal Assurances	External Assurances	Gaps Identified & Pending Actions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Information Governance IG Toolkit reported to AC – All components of the IGT in relation to data quality were self-assessed as the highest level 3 for 2017-18 – UHL is a trusted organisation as defined in the IG Toolkit. With the move from IGT to the Data Security and Protection Toolkit from April 2018, specific requirements for management of Data Quality are still being finalised. We have contacts with NHS Digital as well as good connections across a network of peer Data Quality leads at other regional Trusts.</li> <li>• GDPR progress reported to Exec Team (EIM&amp;T) and AC – GDPR Project Lead appointed in July 2018.</li> <li>• Paperless hospital 2020 strategy reported to Exec Team and to Trust Board sub-committees on a regular basis - The pace of achievement of the Paperless Hospital 2020 is dependent on available resources to effect the changes and prioritisation of other demands on IT services.</li> <li>• The Trust’s avoidance of any significant impact from the WannaCry ransomware has highlighted the good standard of our processes related to cyber security, although with no room for complacency given the speed with which this threat evolves.</li> <li>• IM&amp;T Capital Plan Briefing to PPPC.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Internal Audit 2018/19:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Information Governance – to perform validation work on the Information governance toolkit in line with the annual audit requirement – Audit review completed March 2018 – Medium Risk.</li> <li>➢ Paperless 2020 programme review - following an initial review of EPR ‘Plan B’ a follow up to assess how the programme is progressing using a diagnostic ‘Twelve elements of programme management excellence’ – Audit review completed May 2018 – High risk - progress with actions tracked via the e-Hospital Board, delays against plan but expected to complete by Mar 19.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• ISO 27001:2013 – The MBP maintains an accreditation (in 2017) – due for review in 2018/19.</li> <li>• NHS digital Health Check – cyber security audit – Jan 2018 – remediation plan agreed.</li> <li>• NHS IT Maturity Index – Completed Q1 2018/19 - scores for UHL higher on all domains than national average.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Investment resource</b> to finance the acceleration of the Trust’s IT service including desktop replacement project – <i>Secure adequate resources to fund 18/19 IT strategy</i> – presented to EIM&amp;T Board in May 2018 - No revenue funding available for 29.6 wte resources so IM&amp;T capital will be used to fund some posts and additional pressure will fall to CMGs to effect the change programme. Budget shortfall for existing 4 wte clinical facilitators escalated to the PH2020 Board in Jun 18. Financial plan confirmed by CIO July 18. Plan to recruit by 31/10/18, subject to internal recruitment controls (CIO).</li> <li>• <b>Paperless Hospital engagement</b> - <i>Deliver support to the quality commitment by identifying priority work that can be undertaken on existing systems, i.e. nervecentre or ICE as per the agreed UHL annual priorities. For 2018/19 will involve the following 5 areas:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ <i>Replacing old computing/mobile hardware- roll-out started Aug 18</i></li> <li>➢ <i>Nervecentre- in progress assessment forms being deployed now</i></li> <li>➢ <i>PACS – in progress go live due Sep 18</i></li> <li>➢ <i>ICE– in progress</i></li> <li>➢ <i>E-Prescribing – in progress roll-out to start Oct 18</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Information Governance plan</b> for implementation of <b>GDPR</b> – gap analysis by Internal Auditors identified there are a number of gaps with regard to the new regulation commenced in May 2018. Mitigating actions include undertaking a Corporate Records Audit by Mar 2019 (CIO).</li> <li>• <b>Cyber security</b> – raising awareness to reduce risk of <b>human factors</b> and on-going <b>medical equipment</b> challenges – IM&amp;T awareness campaigns including IM&amp;T newsletter and new GDPR training - scheduled during Q2 2018/19 (CIO).</li> <li>• <b>External IT supplier preparedness</b> - UHL to seek assurance from external providers about their system resilience arrangements. CIO linking with CMGs HoOs to request they liaise with their external providers (requested 06/08/18) – Q2 2018/19 (CIO).</li> </ul>

<b>DATE: @ August 2018</b>		<b>Director:</b> DEF		<b>Executive Board:</b> ESB		<b>TB Sub Committee:</b>		<b>AC / QOC</b>				
<b>Linked Objective</b>	To progress our strategic enabler... to deliver safe, high quality, patient centred, healthcare											
<b>BAF Principal Risk: 6 – Estates</b>	If the Trust does not adequately develop and maintain its estate to meet statutory compliance obligations and minimise the potential for critical infrastructure failure, <b>caused by a lack of resources to address the backlog maintenance programme, insufficient clinical decant capacity and the sheer volume of technical work to address ageing buildings</b> , then it may result in an increased risk of failure of critical plant, equipment and core critical services leading to compliance issues, risk of regulatory intervention, impact upon business and patient critical infrastructure and adverse publicity.											
	<b>Current Risk &amp; Assurance Rating (I x L):</b> <b>5 x 3 = 15</b>											
<b>BAF Ratings</b>	<b>APR</b>	<b>MAY</b>	<b>JUN</b>	<b>JUL</b>	<b>AUG</b>	<b>SEP</b>	<b>OCT</b>	<b>NOV</b>	<b>DEC</b>	<b>JAN</b>	<b>FEB</b>	<b>MAR</b>
<b>Exec Team:</b>	<b>5 x 3 = 15</b>	<b>5 x 3 = 15</b>	<b>5 x 3 = 15</b>	<b>5 x 3 = 15</b>	<b>5 x 3 = 15</b>							
<b>Primary Controls</b>						<b>Detective Risk Indicators</b>						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Estates &amp; Facilities directorate governance structure to deliver effective estates and facilities services.</li> <li>Estates Strategy - directs investment and resources how the Trust will maintain a fit for purpose estate that enables delivery of high quality, safe and effective care (in line with CQC core standards: Safety and suitability of premises; Safety, availability and suitability of equipment; Cleanliness and infection control), including Clinical Strategy priorities and the organisation’s wider five year plan.</li> <li>Prioritised Annual and Five-Year capital programme developed in consultation with CMGs and Trust Exec Team.</li> <li>Statutory Compliance monitoring programme provides assurance that statutory obligations are met. The Compliance Assessment Audit System (CAAS) is used to monitor compliance rate and assist UHL in evidencing its Premises Assurance Model (PAM) position. The PAM dashboard is reported to Exec Team. Independent Authorising Engineer annual reports to measure conformance against HTM / HBN guidance.</li> <li>Estates &amp; Facilities Risk Management Process – monthly multi-disciplinary Estates &amp; Facilities Capital Risk Management Group review new and existing E&amp;F risks prior to reporting for scrutiny to the E&amp;F SMT. Significant risks are escalated to the UHL Risk Register, thus providing a consistent governance approach to monitoring and review in-line with the Trust risk plan.</li> <li>Backlog Maintenance &amp; maintainability surveys and business continuity and condition surveys.</li> <li>Reactive maintenance capability and 24/7 emergency call out arrangements across all sites.</li> <li>Infection Prevention and Control programme including policies / procedures; staff training; environmental cleaning audits and inspections.</li> <li>Estates &amp; Facilities Help Desk provides single focal point for all works requests.</li> <li>All key projects are taken through a rigorous business case process to ensure they deliver benefits based on the situation at the time of their development.</li> </ul>						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Key Estates &amp; Facilities Performance Indicators: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Model Hospital benchmark.</li> <li>➤ Carter Indices.</li> <li>➤ Naylor recommendations for E&amp;F.</li> <li>➤ Internal KPIs and performance thresholds (hard and soft FM)</li> <li>➤ Premises Assurance Model Reports</li> <li>➤ CAAS Reports</li> <li>➤ Specialist Reports and verifications</li> <li>➤ DoH acceptance of Trust ERIC submission</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						

Internal Assurances	External Assurances	Gaps Identified & Pending Actions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Risk Assessments identify significant risks are reviewed by E&amp;F Senior Management Team on a quarterly basis, prior to being put onto the Trust Risk Register.</li> <li>• Data from Backlog Maintenance &amp; maintainability (age &amp; replacement parts), business continuity and condition surveys ensures highest identified risks are prioritised and considered for funding.</li> <li>• Risk action plans/action notes are generated and monitored and reviewed in accordance with Trust risk management policy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Backlog maintenance – reported in the ERIC return to the Department of Health and benchmarked against other NHS Trusts annually.</li> <li>• Premises Assurance Model – current rating: ‘Steady State’.</li> <li>• External audit for Piped Medical Gases carried out by an Independent Authorising Engineer, annually.</li> <li>• Electrical Low Voltage, High Voltage and Lifts audited by an Independent Authorising Engineer, annually.</li> <li>• Water audit carried out by an Independent Authorising Engineer, six monthly.</li> <li>• External audit for Specialist Ventilation carried out by an Independent Authorising Engineer, annually.</li> <li>• Patient-led Assessments of the Care Environment (PLACE)</li> <li>• <b>Internal Audit 2017/18:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Backlog maintenance – Audit action plan monitored and reviewed at UHL Audit Committee.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Internal Audit 2019/20:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Capital Programme (TBC) - a review of the prioritisation process for developing the capital programme, how resources are allocated across the key areas and the monitoring / reporting around the programme.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insufficient funding allocated to fully implement the Sustainable Development Management Plan and reconfigure the estate in-line with clinical and estates strategy. A five-year backlog maintenance reduction programme with Trust Board backing is required.</li> <li>• Detailed build-up of capital costs to provide an overall 5 year capital programme to ensure appropriate finances are allocated to implement the changes required.</li> <li>• A full asset list of all plant and equipment is required.</li> <li>• LLR STP funding position to be updated for a 2019/20 bid and put forward to NHS Improvement and NHS England. This includes backlog and infrastructure investment.</li> <li>• Confirmation of planning assumptions and service model which will lead to refinements in the proposed DCP design solutions – Further revision of the DCPs based the current level of information and forecasts.</li> <li>• Identify appropriate level of upgrade works; to be informed by the latest condition survey and linked to the GT review - DEF to review 18/19.</li> <li>• Recruitment and retention of key operational and maintenance E&amp;F staff challenges, resulting in gaps in service delivery and standards – DEF to review 18/19 following a change in E&amp;F trajectory as a result of not moving to the planned E&amp;F Subsidiary model.</li> <li>• Recruitment and Retention of Estates Specialist Services Authorised Person (AP) specialists identified as a potential threat to Capital Development schemes as AP support is key to quality &amp; safety in the delivery of capital schemes – DEF to review 18/19.</li> </ul>



<b>DATE: @ August 2018</b>		<b>Director:</b> DSC		<b>Executive Board:</b> ESB		<b>TB Sub Committee:</b>		<b>AC / PPPC</b>																																																														
<b>Linked Objective</b>	To develop more integrated care in partnership with others																																																																					
<b>BAF Principal Risk: 7 – Partnerships</b>	If the Trust is unable to work collaboratively with partners to secure the support of community and STP stakeholders, <i>caused by breakdown of relationships amongst partners and ineffective clinical service strategies of the local population</i> , then it may result in disruption to transforming sustainable clinical services, affecting business (finance) and reputation (breach in regulatory duty / adverse publicity).										<b>Current Risk &amp; Assurance Rating (1 x L):</b>																																																											
	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>																																																																					
<b>BAF Ratings</b>	<b>APR</b>	<b>MAY</b>	<b>JUN</b>	<b>JUL</b>	<b>AUG</b>	<b>SEP</b>	<b>OCT</b>	<b>NOV</b>	<b>DEC</b>	<b>JAN</b>	<b>FEB</b>	<b>MAR</b>																																																										
<b>Exec Team:</b>	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>	<b>4 x 4 = 16</b>																																																																	
<b>Primary Controls</b>						<b>Detective Risk Indicators</b>																																																																
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Attendance and active participation in:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All STP work streams at senior strategic level and at operational level where relevant.</li> <li>Health and wellbeing Boards across City and County.</li> <li>Active engagement with primary care across city and county.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Revised Trust objectives and annual priorities agreed for 2018/19.</li> <li>Frailty programme, AE Delivery Board and internal flow metrics.</li> <li>LLR Frailty Checklist agreed by health and social care. This is a single page reminding professionals to check that vaccinations, falls assessments, medication reviews etc. have been completed.</li> <li>Clinical Frailty Scale score has been built into Nerve Centre with a tailored training package for all EF staff.</li> <li>Active Clinical input and leadership across key STP work streams such as planned care, urgent care, Integrated Locality teams, and Home First.</li> <li>System wide PMO including: Project and programme management; Specialist Support e.g. business intelligence, strategic planning; Change Management and Transformation Function.</li> <li>Readmissions working group set up to analyse data at specialty level (inc. benchmarking) and assess the actions needed.</li> </ul>						<p style="text-align: center;"><b>LLR CCG's - Emergency admission trends UHL</b></p> <table border="1"> <caption>LLR CCG's - Emergency admission trends UHL (Estimated Data)</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Month</th> <th>Admissions</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>Apr-16</td><td>2700</td></tr> <tr><td>May-16</td><td>2800</td></tr> <tr><td>Jun-16</td><td>2600</td></tr> <tr><td>Jul-16</td><td>2700</td></tr> <tr><td>Aug-16</td><td>2650</td></tr> <tr><td>Sep-16</td><td>2600</td></tr> <tr><td>Oct-16</td><td>2650</td></tr> <tr><td>Nov-16</td><td>2750</td></tr> <tr><td>Dec-16</td><td>3000</td></tr> <tr><td>Jan-17</td><td>3000</td></tr> <tr><td>Feb-17</td><td>2600</td></tr> <tr><td>Mar-17</td><td>2900</td></tr> <tr><td>Apr-17</td><td>2600</td></tr> <tr><td>May-17</td><td>2750</td></tr> <tr><td>Jun-17</td><td>2850</td></tr> <tr><td>Jul-17</td><td>2700</td></tr> <tr><td>Aug-17</td><td>2800</td></tr> <tr><td>Sep-17</td><td>2650</td></tr> <tr><td>Oct-17</td><td>2700</td></tr> <tr><td>Nov-17</td><td>2750</td></tr> <tr><td>Dec-17</td><td>2900</td></tr> <tr><td>Jan-18</td><td>3100</td></tr> <tr><td>Feb-18</td><td>2800</td></tr> <tr><td>Mar-18</td><td>3050</td></tr> <tr><td>Apr-18</td><td>2850</td></tr> <tr><td>May-18</td><td>2850</td></tr> <tr><td>Jun-18</td><td>2650</td></tr> <tr><td>Jul-18</td><td>2750</td></tr> </tbody> </table>							Month	Admissions	Apr-16	2700	May-16	2800	Jun-16	2600	Jul-16	2700	Aug-16	2650	Sep-16	2600	Oct-16	2650	Nov-16	2750	Dec-16	3000	Jan-17	3000	Feb-17	2600	Mar-17	2900	Apr-17	2600	May-17	2750	Jun-17	2850	Jul-17	2700	Aug-17	2800	Sep-17	2650	Oct-17	2700	Nov-17	2750	Dec-17	2900	Jan-18	3100	Feb-18	2800	Mar-18	3050	Apr-18	2850	May-18	2850	Jun-18	2650	Jul-18	2750
Month	Admissions																																																																					
Apr-16	2700																																																																					
May-16	2800																																																																					
Jun-16	2600																																																																					
Jul-16	2700																																																																					
Aug-16	2650																																																																					
Sep-16	2600																																																																					
Oct-16	2650																																																																					
Nov-16	2750																																																																					
Dec-16	3000																																																																					
Jan-17	3000																																																																					
Feb-17	2600																																																																					
Mar-17	2900																																																																					
Apr-17	2600																																																																					
May-17	2750																																																																					
Jun-17	2850																																																																					
Jul-17	2700																																																																					
Aug-17	2800																																																																					
Sep-17	2650																																																																					
Oct-17	2700																																																																					
Nov-17	2750																																																																					
Dec-17	2900																																																																					
Jan-18	3100																																																																					
Feb-18	2800																																																																					
Mar-18	3050																																																																					
Apr-18	2850																																																																					
May-18	2850																																																																					
Jun-18	2650																																																																					
Jul-18	2750																																																																					

Internal Assurances	External Assurances	Gaps Identified & Pending Actions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Internal self-assessment reviews about the efficacy of the controls for this risk have been reported to ESB; Stakeholder meetings; Trust Board sub-committees and have identified gaps in active participation in several related STP work streams – this has been rectified from June 2018, with operations and strategy attendance at key STP meetings.</li> <li>• UHL Trust Board briefed on the LLR Frailty programme in August 2018.</li> <li>• Multiple CMGs and services now involved in improving this system of care for frail and multi-morbid patients internally and with external partners. Positive engagement noted to date (as at Aug 2018).</li> <li>• UHL Consultants Conference (Sept 2018) – symposium to be held on frailty to identify how to make UHL ‘frailty friendly’.</li> <li>• Planned care:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ System wide LiA events for key specialties continue to take place. 5 have been completed so far, with working groups in place to inform transformed models of care for each specialty.</li> <li>➢ Next set of 5 planned for September 2018.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review of the LLR STP has shown that this risk is not fully mitigated as assurance of efficacy of the partnership working is limited at this point. This tells us that the current governance processes are not yet fit for purpose and will not fully mitigate the risk as presented.</li> <li>• The work will be referenced in LLR escalation meetings with NHS England and NHS Improvement.</li> <li>• New Out of Hospital Board formed, covering the duplicative work of the Integrated Locality Teams and the Home First STP work streams. UHL fully engaged at strategic and operational level. Outcomes being aligned to those of the Frailty programme.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A governance review is under way at LLR STP level – the Trust will feed in to this review robustly to ensure that relationships remain stable and the STP framework delivers the plans outlined – outcome of this review is planned for completion by the end of Q2 2018/19 through the STP programme. UHL will input into this review via SLT</li> <li>• Pending action: To ensure that UHL feeds requirements into strategic commissioning arenas. For example, Commissioners are considering the future of the ‘Primary care coordinator’ service at the front door of ED. If this service is not continued, there is a risk of increased admissions into UHL particular for frailer patients. UHL will need to input into forums both operationally and strategically to ensure that the value of this service is understood in terms of patient outcomes.</li> </ul>

Appendix 2 - UHL risk register dashboard (Aug Final)

Risk ID	CMG	Risk Description	Current Risk Score	Target Risk Score
1149	CHUGGS	If there is an increase to cancer patients waiting times, caused by competing priorities between cancer targets, patient compliance, capacity and administration processes then we may breach waiting time targets resulting in delays in patient diagnosis and treatment.	20	9
2264	CHUGGS	If an effective solution for the nurse staffing shortages in CHUGGS at LGH and LRI is not found, then the safety and quality of care provided will be adversely impacted.	20	6
2565	CHUGGS	If capacity is not increased to meet demand, then delivery of national targets in General Surgery, Gastro and Urology will be compromised resulting in delays in patient treatment pathways.	20	9
3139	CHUGGS	If the ageing and failing decontamination equipment in both Endoscopy and theatres is not improved / replaced, then the service may fail to meet national guidelines, diagnostic targets and decontamination and Infection Control requirements, resulting in increased risk of harm to both patients and staff, increasing waiting list size and failure to secure JAG approval.	20	3
3183	RRCV	If Cardiac Surgery is unable to operate on elective patients due to winter pressures and/or availability of ward and ITU beds, there is a risk that patients' conditions could deteriorate, resulting in a need for urgent admission or more complex surgery with greater risk of complications.	20	15
3186	RRCV	If the CMG fails to achieve the allocated financial control total then this could result in an deterioration in the Trust overall financial deficit.	20	9
2354	RRCV	If the capacity of the Clinical Decisions Unit is not expanded to meet the increase in demand, then will continue to experience overcrowding resulting in potential harm to patients.	20	9
2149	ESM	If we do not recruit and retain into the current Nursing vacancies within SM, then patient safety and quality of care may be compromised resulting in potential delayed care.	20	6
2804	ESM	If the ongoing pressures in medical admissions continue, then Specialist Medicine CMG bed base will be insufficient thus resulting in the need to out lie into other speciality/CMG beds affecting quality and safety of patient care.	20	12
3077	ESM	If there are delays in the availability of in-patient beds, then both Emergency Care performance and safety of patients within the Emergency Department at Leicester Royal Infirmary could be adversely affected, resulting in overcrowding in the Emergency Department and an inability to accept new patients from ambulances.	20	15
3114	ITAPS	If we are unsuccessful in recruiting ITU medical and nursing staff to agreed establishment, then we are at risk of not being able to deliver a safe and effective service, resulting in delay in treatment to patients and deterioration in performance.	20	6
3115	ITAPS	If there is an IT infrastructure failure or delay in accessing systems due to out of date and obsolete hardware and software in theatres and other clinical areas, then clinical teams will not be able to access essential patient information or imaging in a timely manner resulting in potential for patient harm.	20	4
3120	ITAPS	If there is a continued mismatch between capacity and demand for access to emergency theatres we are at risk of cat 2 and 3 patients not receiving surgery within the NCEPOD timeframes and increased requirement for out of hours working.	20	12
2333	ITAPS	If we do not recruit into the Paediatric Cardiac Anaesthetic vacancies, then we will not be able to maintain a WTD compliant rota resulting suboptimal patient treatment.	20	8
3113	ITAPS	If the infrastructure in our ITU's is not updated and expanded to meet current standards and demand, then clinical teams will not be able to provide safe care to all patients requiring level 2 or 3 care resulting in deterioration in clinical outcomes benchmarked against other centres (ICNARC).	20	8
3200	ITAPS	If the practices, workforce, estate and facilities in LRI ITU are not compliant to current standards and expectations caused by staffing shortages, inadequate capacity for demand and an aging estate with suboptimal environment for critical care patients then clinical teams will not be able to provide safe care to all patients requiring level 2/3 care due to an increased risk of cross contamination	20	10
3119	ITAPS	If there is a deterioration in our theatre staff vacancies and we are unsuccessful in recruiting ODP's to agreed establishment; then we are at risk of not being able to deliver a safe and effective service.	20	6
2615	CSI	Integrity and capacity of containment level 3 laboratory facility in Clinical Microbiology	20	2
3083	W&C	When gaps on the Junior Doctor rota reach a critical level there are not enough Junior Doctors to staff the Neonatal Units at both the LRI and LGH; resulting in a substantial risk to patient care, quality of service and reputation to the unit and Trust. The number of gaps will vary but for July 2018 are at a critical level.	20	3
2777	Comms	If fundraising targets for the Charity fundraising campaign do not reach target charitable income	20	8
3054	HR	If the Trust's Statutory and Mandatory Training data can no longer be verified on the new Learning Management System, HELM, then it is not possible to confirm staff training compliance which could result in potential harm to patients, reputation impact, increased financial impact and non-compliance with agreed targets.	20	3
3148	Corporate Nursing	If the Trust does not recruit the appropriate staff with the right skills in the right numbers then we may not be able to deliver safe, high quality, patient centred, efficient care and reduce our current nursing vacancy levels resulting in potential increased clinical risk to our patients and poor patient experience	20	12
2404*	Corporate Nursing	If the process for identifying patients with a centrally placed vascular access (CVAD) device within the trust are not robust, then this could result in increased morbidity and mortality.	20	16
3181	RRCV	If the Prescribing Administration and Monitoring of Oxygen in Adults (B27/2010) Policy is to be adhered to, then the e-obs system settings must be adjustable for Cardio-Respiratory patients, Resulting in improved patient care or chronic hypoxic conditions and for patients who do not have Type 2 respiratory failure.	16	6
3040	RRCV	If there are insufficient medical trainees in Cardiology, then there may be an imbalance between service and education demands resulting in the inability to cover rotas and deliver safe, high quality patient care.	16	9
2820	RRCV	If a timely VTE risk assessments is not undertaken on admission to CDU, then we will be breach of NICE CCG92 guidelines resulting patients being placed at risk of harm.	16	3

Risk ID	CMG	Risk Description	Current Risk Score	Target Risk Score
3198	ESM	If there is a Failure to administer insulin safely and monitor blood glucose levels accurately, in accordance with any prescriber's instructions and at suitable times then this may lead to patients not having their diabetes appropriately monitored/managed resulting in a risk of prolonged length of stay, severe harm	16	4
3203	ESM	If Dermatology is not adequately resourced, then we will be unable to provide high quality and timely care to our patients and recruitment of staff will be affected, resulting in threat of not meeting RTT and skin cancer targets.	16	4
3025	ESM	If there continues to be high levels of qualified nursing vacancies and issue with nursing skill mix across Emergency Medicine, then quality and safety of patient care could be compromised.	16	4
3121	ITAPS	If operating theatres' ventilation systems fail due to lack of maintenance, then the affected theatres cannot be used to provide patient care resulting in reduced theatre capacity and pressure on other theatres to meet demand and may lead to patient cancellations	16	9
2191	MSS	If workforce constraints within the ophthalmology service are not addressed, then backlogs and delays could result in serious patient harm.	16	8
2989	MSS	If we do not recruit into the T&O Wards nursing vacancies, then patient safety and quality of care will be placed at risk	16	4
3205*	CSI	If the breast screening round length is not reduced, then the PHE performance indicator may not be met leading to delays with patients three yearly breast screening appointments impacting early cancer diagnosis. <b>Actions</b>	16	8
2955	CSI	If system faults attributed to EMRAD are not expediently resolved, then we will continue to expose patients to the risk of harm	16	4
3128	CSI	If unfated blood components previously issued (2015 to 2017) are not evidenced then BSQR 2005 legal requirement of 100% traceability will not be met resulting in regulatory implications and delay in providing blood and blood components.	16	4
3129	CSI	If a 100% traceability (end fate) of blood components is not determined then BSQR 2005 legal requirement of 100% traceability will not be met Resulting in legal implications and delay in providing blood and blood components	16	4
2673	CSI	If the bid for the National Genetics reconfiguration is not successful then there will be a financial risk to the Trust resulting in the loss of the Cytogenetics service	16	8
3206	CSI	If staff are not appropriately trained on the usage of POC medical device equipment then this may lead to improper use that may result in inaccurate diagnostic test results affecting patient care and leading to potential harm to the patient.	16	6
3008	W&C	If the paediatric retrieval and repatriation teams are delayed mobilising to critically ill children due to inadequately commissioned & funded provision of a dedicated ambulance service, then this will result in failure to meet NHS England standards, delayed care, potential harm and inability to free-up PICU capacity.	16	5
2153	W&C	If the high number of vacancies of qualified nurses working in the Children's Hospital is not addressed, then there will be a shortfall in the nurse to patient ratio which could impact on the quality of patient care.	16	8
3201	Comms	If the Mac desktop computers fail/break down or the shared server fails, then there is a loss of service to the Trust because photographers and/or graphics are unable to do their job and potential loss of work products that are saved/stored on there. There is no IM&T support for these machines and no IM&T support or management of this server.	16	2
2237	Corporate Medical	If a standardised process for requesting and reporting inpatient and outpatient diagnostic tests is not implemented, then the timely review of diagnostic tests will not occur.	16	8
3138	Estates & Facilities	If there are insufficient management controls in place to meet Regulation 4 of the Control of Asbestos Regulations (CAR), then there is an increased risk of enforcement action by the HSE, resulting in prosecution, and/or significant financial impact and reputational damage.	16	4
3140*	Estates & Facilities	If sufficient 'downtime' for Planned Preventative Maintenance and corrective maintenance is not scheduled into the theatre annual programmes, then functional defects will emerge and evolve in specialist ventilation systems, resulting in potential risk of microbiological contamination in the theatre environment.	16	8
3141	Estates & Facilities	If the integrity of fire compartmentation is compromised, then during a real fire event the rate of fire and/or smoke spread will accelerate through the building limiting the ability to utilise horizontal and/or vertical evacuation methods, resulting in potential life safety concerns and loss of areas / beds / services.	16	8
3143	Estates & Facilities	If sufficient capital funding is not committed to reduce backlog maintenance across the estate there will be an increasing risk of key/critical failures in buildings, building services and infrastructure impacting on service provision and patient care.	16	6
3145	Estates & Facilities	If there is not a significant investment to upgrade electrical infrastructure across the UHL, then there will be an increased risk of a loss of 'normal' electrical supply and potential failures in generator stand-by electrical supply leading to interruption to patient care, key electrical equipment breakdown, and provision of normal patient care and support services resulting in adverse impacts to patient care and non-clinical services.	16	6
3137	Estates & Facilities	If calls made to the Switchboard via '2222' are not recorded, then there is a risk that vital/critical information passed verbally between caller and call handler cannot be verify if the emergency response is not appropriate for the reported situation.	16	4
3191	IM&T	If the Trust is unable to demonstrate 95% compliance with IG training, then the Trust may lose level 2 IG accreditation, resulting in potential loss of research status and difficulties with forging future collaborative working arrangements with prospective business partners which could adversely impact on the delivering strategic aims.	16	12
3180	IM&T	If fragility in the underlying UHL IM&T infrastructure is not addressed, then there may be limited or no access to Trust IM&T critical systems, resulting in service disruption and impacting provision of care	16	6
3155	IM&T	If the PABX system fails then the telephone system will not work for a range of telephone numbers resulting in significant service disruption and potential patient harm.	16	4
2621	CHUGGS	If recruitment and retention to vacancies on Ward 22 at the LRI does not occur, then patients may be exposed to harm due to poor skill mix on the Ward.	15	6
3211	RRCV	If Additional appropriately trained sedationists are not provided in Angiocatheter suite. then Patients undergoing cardiology procedures may receive an inadequate level of monitoring during conscious sedation.	15	8

Risk ID	CMG	Risk Description	Current Risk Score	Target Risk Score
3047	RRCV	If the service provisions for vascular access at GH are not adequately resourced to meet demands, then patients will experience significant delays for a PICC resulting in potential harm.	15	6
2837	ESM	If migration to an automated results monitoring system is not introduced, then follow-up actions for patients with multiple sclerosis maybe delayed resulting in potential harm.	15	2
2973	CSI	If the service delivery model for Adult Gastroenterology Medicine patients is not appropriately resourced, then the quality of care provided by nutrition and dietetic service will be suboptimal resulting in potential harm to patients.	15	6
2965	CSI	If we do not address Windsor pharmacy storage demands, then we may compromise clinical care and breach statutory duties	15	6
3023	W&C	There is a risk that the split site Maternity configuration leads to impaired quality of Maternity services at the LGH site	15	6
3093	W&C	If there is insufficient Midwifery establishment to achieve the recommended Midwife to Birth ratio, in view of increased clinical acuity, then patient care may be delayed resulting in potential increase in maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality rates	15	6
3084	W&C	Due to the current split site Consultant cover of the Neonatal Units at the LRI and LGH; there is a risk to patient care, quality of service and reputation to the unit and Trust. This may also result in the withdrawal of the neonatal service from the LGH site impacting significantly the Maternity Service.	15	5
2394	Comms	If a service agreement to support the image storage software used for Clinical Photography is not in place, then we will not be able access clinical images in the event of a system failure.	15	3
3079	Corporate Medical	If there is insufficient capacity with the administrative support for the Learning from Deaths Framework and the Specialty M&M Structured Judgment Review process which is not addressed and substantive funding is not identified for an additional Bereavement Support Nurses, then this will lead to a delay with screening all deaths, undertaking Structured Judgment Reviews, and speaking to bereaved relatives, resulting in failure to learn from deaths in a timely manner and non-compliance with the internal QC and external NHS England and Statutory Quality Account requirement	15	6
3172	IM&T	If systems and services provided by IM&T are not continuously maintained to ISO accredited standard, then our systems may be vulnerable to potential cyber attack resulting in in significant service disruption, harm to patients and financial loss	15 (↓20)	15
2434	IM&T	If computers operating on Windows XP are not upgraded, then we may experience significant service disruptions in the event of a cyber attack.	15	6
1615	IM&T	If flooding occurs at the LRI, then the Servers and Network equipments in our Data Centre may become damaged resulting in Trust-wide service disruption and potential harm to patients.	15	6
2771	CHUGGS	There is a risk to quality of patient care due to insufficient Oncologist workforce to meet demand	12	6
2976	CHUGGS	If capacity is not increased to accommodate the growing new patient oncology referrals and change in complex treatment offered, then delivery of cancer access targets will be compromised resulting in a breach of 7 days CQUIN target.	12	4
2977	CHUGGS	If capacity is not increased to accommodate new patient referrals and changes in complex radiotherapy planning - SABR, then patients will experience delays to their treatment due to an increased waiting time for radiotherapy planning.	12	4
2978	CHUGGS	If DoH accreditation is lost, then radiotherapy SABR delivery model will be reduced.	12	4
3167	RRCV	If the -20 walk in freezer room were to malfunction/fail, then service delivery of the Transplant Lab could be compromised and non-compliance with UKAS	12	4
3175	RRCV	If the clinical pathway proposed that allows Lincolnshire patients to be treated closer to home and repatriated from UHL to the United Hospitals of Lincolnshire in a timely manner do not take place there is a risk that the reduced bed base required for the interim reconfiguration will not be realised.	12	6
3176	RRCV	If the current shortfall in nursing staff vacancies in Renal and Transplant wards is not addressed, then this will affect the ability to achieve appropriate Nurse to Patient ratio, resulting in increased clinical risk to our patients and poor patient experience	12	9
3109	RRCV	If additional capacity, resource and support is not provided for the Respiratory Consultant Pharmacist then there is a risk of patient harm as they will be unable to deliver current commitments, service requirements or meet the future demands of the CMG due to the significant gaps in resource versus demand in this highly specialised role.	12	8
3111	RRCV	If notes are missing or lost caused by misfiling or removal of notes, then there is a risk that pacing notes will not be available resulting inappropriate actions being taken with the implantable cardiac device.	12	2
3112	RRCV	If shelves/storage are overloaded caused by insufficient storage space then there is a risk of the shelving in the pacing clinic falling from the wall leading to injury to staff and loss of patients records.	12	3
3043	RRCV	If there is insufficient cardiac physiologists then it could result in reduced echo capacity resulting in diagnostics not being performed in a timely manner	12	6
2917	RRCV	If the Ambulatory ECG Analysis equipment nearing obsolete are not replaced and appropriately supported with a suitable data management system, then patients may experience delays with analysing & processing of results.	12	2
2900	RRCV	If patients cannot be isolated as per UHL Isolation Policy due to the lack of side room provision in CDU, then likelihood of cross infection would be increased.	12	8
2997	RRCV	If the technical malfunctions with the NxStage machines are not resolved, then our patients will be exposed to potential harm	12	4
3014	RRCV	If there is no fit for purpose Renal Proton Clinical System to collect all information required for reimbursement of dialysis, then this may result in poor patient experience, submission of data to the UK Renal Registry and tariffs	12	6
3210	RRCV	If the Transplant Laboratory's Quality Management System was poorly maintained or IT system failed or the staffing levels fell to below the level required to maintain a service (due to sickness or vacancies) then laboratory accreditation could be suspended (as happened June 2015) and transplant compatibility testing could not be maintained in Leicester	12	4

Risk ID	CMG	Risk Description	Current Risk Score	Target Risk Score
3051	RRCV	If we do not effectively recruit to the Medical Staffing gaps for Respiratory Services, then there is a risk to deliver safe, high quality patient care, operational services and impacts on the wellbeing of all staff including medical staffing.	12	6
2905	RRCV	If the gaps in workforce are not addressed, then the delivery of the 62 day cancer target will be affected resulting in delays to patient diagnosis and treatment.	12	6
2870*	RRCV	Audit of DNACPR form have shown that the discussion with the patient or family is not consistently recorded	12	2
3233	RRCV	If VSU diagnostic ultrasound images and reports are not made available on the UHL PACS & CRIS systems then patients are at an increased likelihood of potential harm due to the difficulties associated with not being able to access the relevant patient VSU diagnostic ultrasound images and reports, with associated quality & safety assurance risks for staff and the Trust.	12	1
3246*	RRCV	If 52 week breaches occur as a result of the winter pressures backlog of varicose vein patients (EVLV and open non-EVLV cases) and lack of administrative staff to manage the waiting list, then it may impact harm to patients, and negative service impact. <b>Actions</b>	12	4
3212	ESM	If the CMG does not provide immediate focused management support to the Neurology Service the safety and efficiency of the Service will deteriorate.	12	6
2936	ESM	Failure to handover urgent medical jobs/information on transfer from AMU to a base ward	12	6
2937	ESM	Failure to arrange follow up, or act on results, following discharge from the Acute Medical Unit	12	6
2388	ESM	There is risk of delivering a poor and potentially unsafe service to patients awaiting MH admission &/or further MH assessment.	12	6
3202	ESM	If there are shortfalls or gaps in the medical staffing of the Emergency Department, including EDU at Leicester Royal Infirmary, this could lead to inadequate medical care being delivered.	12	8
3208	ESM	If we cannot adequately recruit permanent registered nursing staff to ward 21 to cover the 80% vacancy level it is possible that there will be a lack of continuity in care, consistent delays in patient care and a poor experience for patients, carers and staff.	12	6
2466	ESM	Current lack of robust processes and systems in place for patients on DMARD and biologic therapies in Rheumatology resulting in a risk of patient harm due to delays in timely review of results and blood monitoring.	12	1
3122*	ITAPS	If we are unsuccessful in controlling expenditure, finding efficiency savings and maximising income within ITAPS then the CMG is at risk of not achieving its set budgeted deficit of £46.6m and will under deliver against the CIP target of £2.9m. <b>Actions</b>	12	8
3018	MSS	There is a risk to the quality, standards and safety of all MSS patients requiring Ambulance transportation	12	4
2759	MSS	There is a risk that performance targets are not met due to a capacity gap within the ENT department	12	2
3020	MSS	Patients could suffer permanent damage to their eye sight due to lack of capacity within the Corneal Service	12	4
3133	MSS	If non compliant with MHRA guidance on the follow up of metal-on-metal (MoM) hip replacements, then patients may be placed at risk of harm due to a lack of timely detection and intervention.	12	8
2815	CSI	There is a risk of unescorted inpatients, in the Imaging Department, becoming ill and of this not being noticed.	12	4
2575	CSI	Risk to patients due to a delay in Image reporting as there is a lack of reporting capacity in neuroradiology and head and neck.	12	4
2380	CSI	There is a risk of breach of Same Sex Accommodation Legislation in Imaging	12	3
2890	CSI	Reduced delivery in the National Breast Screening Service due to a shortage of qualified mammographers	12	8
2947	CSI	Risk to provide a robust Virology service with :Single-handed Consultant Virologist	12	2
2983	CSI	There is a risk that high and low ambient temperatures in the Microbiology Laboratory will impact on service delivery and future	12	4
1206	CSI	If the backlog of unreported Chest and Abdomen images on PAC'S are not cleared, then we will breach IRMER and Royal College of Radiologist guidelines.	12	6
3188	CSI	Reduction in paediatric dietetic service provision to neurology ketogenic diet service leading to clinical risk	12	4
3255	CSI	If we are unable to update our flow cytometry equipment, caused by procurement and contractual sanctions then risk in delivery and development of adequate flow cytometry services for diagnosis of haematology cancers due to outdated technology	12	6
3204	CSI	If there is no improvement to the state of the windows in the Sandringham building at LRI, then during periods with excessively low temperatures (<16 °C) there may be disruption to or closure of services due to areas being uninhabitable and the failure of specialist equipment.	12	6
2863	CSI	There is a risk of a reduced service and possible non-compliance with legislation due to a failure to recruit in RPS	12	4
3250	CSI	If additional capacity, resource and support is not provided for the MSS UGGs Pharmacy Ward Team, then there is a risk of patient harm as they will be unable to deliver current commitments, service requirements or meet the core demands of the CMG due to the significant gaps in resource v demand.	12	6
3221	CSI	If the walk in cold store totally fails or is unable to maintain stable refrigerated temperature then there is a risk of significant loss of high cost medication due to storage outside of optimum temperature range	12	6
3283	CSI	If the Pharmacy Aseptic Unit is under manned due to the level of vacancies, and unable to maintain the critical staffing level to operate safely with an adequate and experienced skill mix of staff, then this will reduce capacity and impact the increasing demand/patient activity for chemotherapy provision to the Cancer Centre and Children's Hospital, and also the increasing clinical trial research activity (numbers & complexity), resulting in disruption and delays to patients' chemotherapy treatment, including clinical trial protocols.	12	6
3295	CSI	If the aseptic unit loses the operation of its second isolator whilst the first isolator is out of operation, then this will impact on the chemotherapy service provision to adult & paediatric cancer services, Resulting in disruption to patients' chemotherapy treatment i.e. either delay or cancellation.	12	6
2916	CSI	If blood samples are mislabeled, caused by problems with ICE printers and human error with not appropriately checking the correct label is attached to the correct sample, then we may expose patients to unnecessary harm.	12	6

Risk ID	CMG	Risk Description	Current Risk Score	Target Risk Score
3127	CSI	If the contractual dispute with AES Medical regarding Haemosys reporting system is not satisfactorily resolved, then there will be a cost pressure with liability of costs of £171k plus legal fees and /or loss of the system resulting in lack of compliance with Cancer Peer review requirements, delays or inaccurate diagnosis of haematological malignancies and possible patient harm associated with this.	12	3
1367	W&C	Lack of Capacity in the Neonatal Service	12	8
593	W&C	There is a risk of inadequate neonatal nursing staff /skill mix levels to meet clinical requirements	12	6
2853	W&C	Quality improvement, governance and safety initiatives not being implemented/supported within Children's services	12	6
3006	W&C	There is a risk to patient safety due to shortage of space in the Ward 27 day case and outpatient clinics.	12	2
2993	W&C	Paediatric Emergency Single Front Door	12	4
3195	W&C	If children born to HIV positive parents have not been tested then a small proportion of them could be HIV positive.	12	4
3184	W&C	If we are unable to secure additional endoscopy sessions for Paeds Gastro then the service will continue to breach national diagnostic targets with increased risk of harm to P/t's.	12	4
3253	W&C	If paediatric operational management capacity is not fully staffed, vacancies and insufficient management resource will threaten delivery of the core functions of children's services; resulting in cancellations, complaints, loss of income and inability to deliver key service developments e.g. service model change to meet winter bed pressures.	12	9
2338	Corporate Medical	If the Homecare market remains unstable, caused by a major company leaving the market, then existing providers of homecare services will experience difficulties achieving satisfactory levels of deliveries resulting in patients not receiving medication and patients receiving the incorrect medication.	12	9
2330	Corporate Medical	If clinical staff do not consistently recognise and act on early indicators of sepsis, then patients will be placed at risk of increased mortality due to ineffective implementation of best practice identification and treatment of sepsis.	12	6
3015	Corporate Medical	If ISO compliant non-luer devices are not implemented when available from the manufactures then patients may be placed at harm during the administration of medicines.	12	4
3196	Estates & Facilities	If current fire detection systems are not upgraded on a rolling programme then there is an increased risk that they systems may fail without the resilience to replace defective / outdated detection heads resulting in a piecemeal system where not all areas are covered	12	3
3192	IM&T	If GDPR is not effectively implemented, then the Trust will be unable to demonstrate compliance resulting in potential enforcement action from the ICO and reputational damage	12	12
3216	IM&T	The Datawarehouse OS and Db platform are at end of support July 2019	12	8
3236	IM&T	There is a risk of duplicate patients existing in Emergency Dept Nervecentre compromising patient safety, reporting & income	12	3
3237	IM&T	If out of support hardware running critical data integration services fails, then the trust will experience significant disruption to the use of clinical systems that depend on patient data being transferred between them in a timely manner, resulting in delays to patient care.	12	8
2376	IM&T	If a UPS fails the computer systems network services will stop working resulting in significant service disruption and potential patient harm with no access to clinical and non-clinical systems across UHL	12	8
3156	IM&T	If Wifi Access Points at the GH, which are at the end of life, are not upgraded then users may not be able to utilise all applications and we may experience significant service disruptions in the event of a cyber attack.	12	4
1697	IM&T	If air conditioning units are not replaced as recommended, then the Computer/Hub room may over heat resulting IT systems shutdown and Trust-wide service disruption.	12	8
2267	Corporate Nursing	Risk of reduced compliance with DoH requirements in relation to adherence to antimicrobial prescribing policy	12	3
2970	Corporate Nursing	If ENFit ISO Standard for enteral feeding is not implemented, then the Trust will be non-compliant resulting increased potential of never events and harm.	12	4
3187	Corporate Nursing	Reduction in adult specialist nutrition nurse service may lead to clinical risk for patients requiring artificial nutrition support	12	4
2774*	Operations	If there are delays with dispatching post consultation outpatient correspondences, then this may result in significant risk to patient safety.	12	6
2850*	Operations	If patients follow up or cancelled appointments are not rebooked within the appropriate clinical timescale, then patients may experience harm as a result of the delays between appointments.	12	6
1693	Operations	If clinical coding is not accurate then income will be affected.	12	8
2878*	Operations	If the technical faults attributed to the video conferencing facilities for cancer MDTs in the Osborne seminar room and Glenfield Radiology rooms are not resolved, then discussion of cancer patients will continue to be interrupted resulting in increased likelihood of clinical errors.	12	4
2987	CHUGGS	If the lack of availability of safe and appropriate ambulatory infusion devices for subcutaneous infusions is not resolved, then patients may be exposed to harm.	10	6
3249	CHUGGS	If current arrangements for access to Brachytherapy are not improved then a security breach could occur with theft/damage of the Brachytherapy source resulting in risk to public safety. The Trust could be liable to prosecution under radiation security specific legislation.	10	5
2999	RRCV	Lack of perfusion availability if theatre and ECMO case in progress at the same time out of hours	10	5
2235	ESM	There is a risk of harm to patients during inter hospital transfers & transfers across to other UHL sites	10	8
3081	W&C	If essential neonatal equipment (including patient administration and monitoring systems, ventilator and syringe pumps) is not replaced in a timely manner then there might be loss of service capacity, resulting in potential hazards for patients and staff.	10	5
2604	W&C	Lack of continuity in patient care due to Gynaecology Consultant cross site working	10	6
3013	W&C	There is a risk to the safety of patients, staff and visitors at St Mary's Birth Centre due to the condition of the building	10	3

Risk ID	CMG	Risk Description	Current Risk Score	Target Risk Score
3179	IM&T	If support and maintenance for our main systems, including DXC manage HISS, e-PMA, ORMIS and EDIS, goes out of business, then there will be no support for our legacy systems, resulting in significant business disruption, reputational damage and potential patient harm as there will be no access to clinical systems for a long period of time	10	4
2894*	CHUGGS	If the insufficient staffing levels in Radiotherapy Physics is not resolved, then the likelihood of breaching waiting time targets and possibility of serious radiotherapy treatment error will be increased.	9	3
2821	CHUGGS	There is a risk of breaching the single sex accommodation policy on Osborne Day Care Unit	9	4
2823	CHUGGS	Chemotherapy Suite - lack of capacity and increased vacancies	9	6
3258	CHUGGS	If the 62 day standard is not met due to ageing equipment being unable to deliver radiotherapy treatments then activity may be diverted to other radiotherapy service providers resulting in a reduced patient experience with the risk of adversely affecting their outcomes and a loss of income for the service.	9	4
2926	RRCV	If there is a shortage of capacity to meet the peaks in demand for patients awaiting I.P. and the NSTEMI quality lead(BPT), (72hours) intervention Cardiac Angio Procedures then this may result in patients treatment being delayed	9	4
3247*	RRCV	If patients whose progressive respiratory condition has gradually deteriorated and become totally dependent on ventilator support are not prescribed a device that is licenced for life support ventilator devices then the ventilators (Nippy 3+) will be being utilised outside of its license resulting in the potential for compromised quality of care and reputational impact	9	4
3224*	RRCV	If there is an unacceptable delay in carrying out diagnostic ambulatory 24 hour blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) this can lead to delay in diagnosis resulting in delay in treatment and there is a small, but recognised risk of CV events in patients with untreated hypertension. <b>Actions</b>	9	6
3244*	RRCV	If the current vascular MDT video conferencing system does not fully link with Boston, Lincoln and Northampton hospitals, then images and audio cannot be reliably shared between the MDT team at UHL/ULHT/NGH and clinical decision making discussions cannot occur in a timely or safe manner. <b>Actions</b>	9	4
3169	ESM	If LPT are unable to provide the contracted Neuropsychology service for the Neuro Rehabilitation Unit (NRU) and the Brain Injury Unit (BIU) then there will be insufficient capacity to meet current demand.	9	4
3220	ESM	If there is no emergency bed on the Stroke Unit at the Leicester Royal Infirmary, then there is a risk of admitting patients onto a trolley in the assessment Bays on Ward 25, LRI.	9	3
3017	MSS	Medinet - Use of an external provider to reduce RTT Backlog	9	4
2504	MSS	There is a risk that patients will wait for an unacceptable length of time for trauma surgery resulting in poor patient outcomes	9	6
1157	CSI	Lack of planned maintenance for medical equipment maintained by Medical Physics	9	6
2845	CSI	There is a risk to the delivery of a quality microbiology service due to lack of appropriate staffing.	9	3
2787	CSI	If we do not implement the EDRM project across UHL which has caused wide scale recruitment and retention issues then medical records services will continue to provide a suboptimal service which will impact on the patients treatment pathway.	9	4
3151	CSI	If the room temperature in treatment rooms is consistently high the stability & integrity of medicines may be affected .	9	6
3251	CSI	If Pharmacy do not fill current vacancies within pharmacy training team then new starters and existing staff will not progress through their competency assessment and wider training at the desired rate, resulting in a lack of trained staff to deliver core pharmacy services, and a delay in staff moving on to complete relevant specialist training (e.g. within aseptic, clinical trials, ward services)	9	6
3293	CSI	If we are unable to meet the requirements of the Falsified Medicines Directive, which comes into force on 1st February 2019 we will be in breach of this legislation.	9	6
2578	W&C	Scans undertaken in GAU & Gynaecology clinic cannot be archived (Screening)	9	2
3094	W&C	If the existing call system (Aidcall) is not replaced then not all areas of the Birth Centre will have a working system and response times may be delayed resulting in deterioration of the situation and a worse outcome for the patient such as delay in resuscitation.	9	2
2327	Comms	If an effective collaborative relationship with stakeholders cannot be established and sustained, then the Trust may lose support from stakeholders.	9	4
2775	Finance	If we do not have robust systems to manage supply of goods then it may impact on clinical service provision	9	9
3174	HR	If CMG's across UHL do not enrol and support the needs of our new apprenticeships from new recruitment or existing post holders then the Trust will not meet it's Public Duty of Care target in that year which means that the workforce may not have had an opportunity to access the development to support the service and patient needs.	9	6
3010	HR	There is a risk that the office space for Recruitment Services and Training are not fit for purpose	9	2
3235	IM&T	There is a risk of insufficient maintenance and development of the UHL Data Warehouse and associated Business Intelligence (BI) applications due to a reduction in IM&T Managed Business Partner (MBP) BI resource, expertise and knowledge.	9	6
3168*	Corporate Nursing	Lack of availability of Infinity Enteral Feeding Pumps increasing risk of inpatients having delayed enteral or bolus feeding	9	4
3123	Operations	If the Trust was to experience a lack of staff availability caused by Industrial action, adverse weather conditions, disruptions to local or national transport infrastructure or mass resignation, then delivery of safe, effective, quality, patient centred care may be compromised, resulting in potential patient harm and service disruptions.	9	6
3125	Operations	If the Trust was to experience the loss of IM&T and Telecommunications infrastructure caused by a planned / unplanned outage, then delivery of safe, effective, high quality, patient centred care may be compromised, resulting in potential patient harm and service disruptions.	9	6
3033	RRCV	If Vascular inpatients and theatre is moved to Glenfield Hospital, leaving Outpatients at the LRI, then this may result in a fragmented and less efficient vascular surgery department	8	1
3110	ESM	Delay in Planned Elective Treatment in the Spasticity Service	8	6



Risk ID	CMG	Risk Description	Current Risk Score	Target Risk Score
2840	ESM	If faulty windows affecting all ESM Wards in Windsor are not replaced, then patient will continue to be exposed to challenging temperature levels.	8	4
3213	ESM	EMAS has converted from a paper PRF (Patient Report Form) to an electronic EPRF as of 24th Sept 2017	8	4
3185*	MSS	If male and female patients who are not suitably dressed are waiting /cared for in the same area then patient privacy and dignity will be compromised resulting in single sex breach. <b>Actions</b>	8	6
3016*	MSS	There is a risk of cross-infection between patients with dental instruments. <b>Actions</b>	8	4
510	CSI	There is a risk of staff shortages impacting on the Blood Transfusion Service at UHL	8	4
2136	CSI	If the aging asset base of infusion pumps is not addressed then this could result in infusion pump obsolescence which may result in patients being exposed to harm.	8	4
2969	CSI	There is a risk of failure to deliver the TAT Standards of NHS Cervical and NHS Bowel Cancer Screening programmes	8	4
3116	CSI	If the epma Medchart system is not updated and configured as per UHL and IM&T requirements then staff may not be using a system which provides high quality care resulting in potential harm to patients through out of date training, web browser issues, drug dictionary not up to date.	8	4
3252	CSI	If the pharmacy satellites do not have appropriate security arrangements in place, then there is a risk of unauthorised individuals obtaining access to medicines and equipment, resulting in medicines or equipment being taken.	8	4
2307	CSI	The Forensic Toxicology service will fail resulting in a substantial loss of income and prestige for the Department/empath	8	4
2154	Comms	If Directorates and CMGs do not adequately engage with PPI processes, then we could breach our legal obligations.	8	6
3157	IM&T	If a second power feed is not installed, as per best practice recommendations, then the Trust may lose access to its clinical and non-clinical systems if the feed fails resulting in service disruption and potential harm to patients	8	4
2377	IM&T	If steam leaks in the windsor basement plant room, then the telephony hardware and lines may be damaged resulting in significant service disruption and reputational damage.	8	4
2910	IM&T	If the "IAMWEB" system malfunctions, then we may experience delays with users accessing critical clinical applications and user accounts resulting in service disruption and potential harm to patients.	8	4
3126	Operations	If the Trust was to experience a loss of a key supply chain partner impacting on the Trust's ability to acquire pharmaceutical goods, medical devices, catering produces and housekeeping products, then delivery of safe, effective, high quality, patient centred care may be compromised resulting in potential patient harm and service disruptions.	8	4
3124	Operations	If the Trust was to experience the loss of a key premises or services (such as Power, Water, Gasses), caused by fire, flood, an act of nature, explosion or an act of terrorism, then delivery of safe, effective, quality, patient centred care may be compromised, resulting in potential patient harm and service disruptions.	8	4
3027	CHUGGS	If the UHL adult haemoglobinopathy service is not adequately resourced, then it will not function at its commissioned level	6	4
3245*	RRCV	If one or more portable ultrasound scanner developed a fault that meant it could not be used. then Potentially screening clinics would have to be cancelled as there are no spare machines. <b>Actions</b>	6	4
2876	MSS	There is a risk that male and female patients will be cared for in the same area when wearing hospital gowns.	6	2
3011	CSI	Risk to patient safety, business continuity and Department reputation when in hours generator tests are performed at GH.	6	1
3234	CSI	If the transition to International Dysphagia Diet/fluid descriptors is not managed effectively, then there is a risk that patients may be given incorrect consistencies of diet/fluidsresulting in risk of aspiration and development of aspiration pneumonia, risk of choking	6	3
2166	Comms	If fundraising plans are not aligned with CMG and Directorate plans, then fundraising will be affected.	6	4
2705	CHUGGS	If blood factor products and medicinal products are issued to patients without "dispensing" in conjunction with a prescription, then there will be a breach of Leicestershire medicines code for prescribing and supply of medications	4	2
2867	CSI	If the Mortuary flooring is not repaired, then we will continue to breach Department of Health Building note 20 and the HSAC (Health Services Advisory Committee) advice by exposing staff to harm.	4	3